1	TRANSCRIPTION OF RECORDED INTERVIEW
2	
3	OF
4	
5	Denzil Verardo
6	
7	September 24, 2012
8	Sacramento, California
9	
10	Investigation of Department of Parks &
11	Recreation - Financial Irregularities
12	
13	Interviewed by: Thomas M. Patton
14	Deputy Attorney General
15	Office of the Attorney
16	General
17	State of California
18	
19	Transcribed by: David Rutt,
2 0	eScribers, Inc.
21	October 2, 2012
22	New York, New York
23	
2 4	(SA201210710)
2 5	000
	D 1
	Page 1

1	MR. PATTON: Okay. We're on the
2	record. This is Thomas M. Patton, Deputy
3	Attorney General, speaking. It is 9:03 a.m.,
4	Monday, September 24, 2012. We are in the
5	government law offices of the Attorney
6	General's Office, 1300 I Street, Sacramento,
7	California. Also with me from the DOJ are
8	Deputy Attorney General Jeffrey Rich and
9	Investigator Michael Mattson, M-A-T-T-S-O-N.
10	And you, sir, if you could, give us
11	your name and spell your name for the record.
12	MR. VERARDO: Yes. Denzil Verardo.
13	MR. PATTON: And how do you spell
14	your last name?
15	MR. VERARDO: V-E-R-A-R-D-O.
16	MR. PATTON: And go ahead and spell
17	your first name also.
18	MR. VERARDO: D-E-N-Z-I-L.
19	MR. PATTON: Okay. Great. Thank
20	you.
21	MR. VERARDO: And by the way, if I
22	ask you to repeat, it's because I don't hear
23	well so
24	MR. PATTON: You have a
25	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, I'm turned up,
	Page 2

1 but if at any time I don't understood 2. something, I'll say --3 MR. PATTON: Okay. 4 MR. VERARDO: -- say that again. 5 MR. PATTON: And that gives us all 6 the more reason to be careful to -- and it'll probably require more caution on my part to 8 make sure I don't speak while you're speaking 9 or someone else. 10 We are recording this in order to 11 have all the witness interview transcripts 12 transcribed. All the audio recordings will 13 end up transcribed. And as I think you're 14 aware and I think we talked a little on the 15 phone, we've been asked -- the Attorney 16 General has been asked to investigate what was 17 reported in the newspapers and actually reported originally by the Natural Resources 18 19 Agency to the governor's office as some 20 disparities in funding reports submitted to 21 the Department of Finance versus the reports submitted to the Controller. So we've been 22 23 looking hard at that. We've been looking at 24 the two accounts that were reported to have 25 discrepancies, the State Parks and Recreation Page 3

1	Fund, which we've learned to call for short
2	SPRF.
3	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
4	MR. PATTON: Okay and the Off
5	Highway Vehicle Trust Fund, the OHV fund.
6	And we've been provided some
7	spreadsheets from the Department of Finance
8	that show us what the disparities are, and so
9	I'm going to go over this for a minute with
10	you so you can take a little bit of a look,
11	and then I'm going to tell you something about
12	what we've learned so far, and then we're
13	going to talk about what was going on during
14	your tenure at the Department of Parks and
15	Recreation.
16	Before we do that and start looking
17	at numbers, let's get a little bit of
18	background on you just so we can put your
19	service in the appropriate time frame.
20	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
21	MR. PATTON: So your background
22	your State employment history is kind of
23	begins and spans what time period?
24	MR. VERARDO: I began as a park
25	ranger in 1971. I retired from State Parks in

1	early 2003 as the Chief Deputy Director for
2	Administration. I went back to work as a
3	retired annuitant for the California
4	Performance Review for a year and a half, and
5	then as a favor to the Schwarzenegger
6	administration went back to work again as a
7	retired annuitant, full time retired
8	annuitant. That was the year that they
9	changed from the calendar year to the fiscal
10	year on retired annuitants, so I could work
11	full time for six months, then full time for
12	another six months.
13	So I was the Chief Deputy Director
14	for the Department of Alcohol and Drug
15	programs for one year, left that and for four
16	years, as a retired annuitant, worked for the
17	California Environmental Protection Agency,
18	Department of Toxic Substances Control. Since
19	last, a year ago July, I have not worked for
2 0	the State of California except occasionally
21	doing interviews if they needed to hire a
2 2	deputy director or something like that.
23	MR. PATTON: And you're currently
2 4	but you do currently serve as an appointed
2 5	member of some government body, right?

1	MR. VERARDO: Yes. Senate Advisory
2	Commission on Cost Control in Government,
3	which is an appointed nonpaid commission.
4	MR. PATTON: Okay. And you were
5	appointed by whom?
6	MR. VERARDO: By the Senate Rules
7	Committee.
8	MR. PATTON: And when?
9	MR. VERARDO: Good question.
10	Two-and-a-half years ago, maybe three.
11	MR. PATTON: Okay. So you started
12	as a park ranger in '71, and you say you
13	retired in early 2003 as the Chief Deputy
14	Director
15	MR. VERARDO: For Administration.
16	MR. PATTON: for Administration.
17	MR. VERARDO: We have two.
18	MR. PATTON: Okay. And you
19	obviously ascended through quite a few
20	different positions then. You worked, I
21	assume, outside of the central administration
22	for quite a while.
23	MR. VERARDO: For a long time, I was
24	the I can give you the synopsis
25	MR. PATTON: Yeah.

1	MR. VERARDO: quickly.
2	MR. PATTON: Sure.
3	MR. VERARDO: Supervising started at
4	Big Basin Redwood State Park as the duty
5	ranger, supervising ranger of Mount Tamalpais
6	State Park north of San Francisco,
7	superintendant of the Napa Valley State Parks,
8	tough assignment, and at our central coast
9	region headquarters as the Administrative
10	Officer, four years at our training center
11	where we became post certified as our law
12	enforcement on the ranger side, then
13	superintendant of Monterey District, Big Sur
14	Santa Cruz District, San Juan Bautista, Santa
15	Cruz Mountains, Big Basin sector, and then up
16	to Sacramento as the Deputy the Assistant
17	Director for Quality Management for
18	MR. PATTON: When did you first come
19	to Sacramento into Administration?
20	MR. VERARDO: 1994.
21	MR. PATTON: Okay. As Deputy
22	Director for what?
23	MR. VERARDO: Assistant Director for
24	Quality Management
25	MR. PATTON: Okay.
	Page 7
	rage /

1	MR. VERARDO: as doing
2	improvement efforts in the department,
3	particularly with regards to efficiency.
4	MR. PATTON: And you reported in
5	that position to whom?
6	MR. VERARDO: The Director.
7	MR. PATTON: Okay. So that was not
8	part of Admin Services?
9	MR. VERARDO: That was not part of
10	Admin Services. That was separate.
11	MR. VERARDO: Okay. All right. So
12	that was 1994?
13	MR. VERARDO: 1994. In 1995, I
14	became late '95, I think, Deputy Director
15	for Administration. So that's when I began in
16	Admin Services and became the Chief Deputy
17	Director for Administration in 2000.
18	MR. PATTON: Okay.
19	MR. VERARDO: And Chief Deputy
20	Director versus Deputy Director of the Office
21	of Historic Preservation then reported to me
22	administratively. So with the new chunks and
23	the reorganization, it became a bigger job
24	than the Deputy Director.
25	MR. PATTON: So in '95 when you took
	Page 8

1	over as I think you said Deputy Director
2	for Administration
3	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
4	MR. PATTON: you were in charge
5	of the Administrative Services Division.
6	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
7	MR. PATTON: And then because
8	I've seen on the organization charts that you
9	then seemed to have kind of a dual title, and
10	I guess this is what you're talking about
11	beginning in 2000 you were designated also the
12	Chief Deputy Director
13	MR. VERARDO: For Administration.
14	MR. PATTON: for Administration.
15	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
16	MR. PATTON: You didn't
17	MR. VERARDO: Another Chief Deputy
18	Director that's exempt.
19	MR. PATTON: Right. Mary Wright.
20	MR. VERARDO: Mary Wright, yes. And
21	Mary
22	MR. PATTON: And she was
23	MR. VERARDO: retired, yeah.
24	MR. PATTON: Yeah. She was Chief
25	Deputy Director over which side?
	Page 9
	_ 430

1	MR. VERARDO: I had all of Admin.
2	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
3	MR. VERARDO: Mary had basically
4	everything
5	MR. PATTON: Operations, everything
6	else.
7	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. Primarily the
8	politics
9	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
10	MR. VERARDO: because again,
11	leg the types of things that a normal
12	Deputy Director does that's an exempt position
13	reporting to the governor's office obviously
14	through the Director.
15	MR. PATTON: Um-hum. So
16	MR. VERARDO: But Mary and I worked
17	together I should explain this a little
18	bit.
19	MR. PATTON: Sure.
20	MR. VERARDO: I've known Mary Wright
21	since 1974 because we were at the training
22	center together. Mary headed the State Parks
23	Academy, so I worked for Mary in 1979 through
24	'80, '81, something like that.
25	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
	Page 10

1	MR. VERARDO: It's a little hard to
2	remember that long ago but so Mary and I
3	knew each other very well when she came to
4	Sacramento.
5	MR. PATTON: How many districts are
6	there? You ran a you were superintendant
7	for a number of districts.
8	MR. VERARDO: A number of districts.
9	At the time, we went from fifty-four districts
10	to twenty one districts during a
11	reorganization, eliminating the region
12	which I was a part of that effort, and I
13	was at the region. So I eliminated my own
14	position within the director, but that worked
15	out just fine.
16	So during the time we had fifty-four
17	districts, I obviously was superintendant of
18	multiple ones. We called them area managers
19	then, but district superintendant. When we
2 0	went to twenty-one districts, then I had the
21	Monterey District which included the old Big
2 2	Sur District, Monterey District, San Juan
23	Baustista District, kind of a big chunk in
2 4	there. Then I came to headquarters.
25	MR. PATTON: So you came to

1	headquarters in '94, you said, and then in '95
2	you were put in charge of the Administrative
3	Services side.
4	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
5	MR. PATTON: And we understand a
6	little bit about how that's composed, that
7	it's got an accounting office and a budget
8	office and a procurement, the IT department
9	and sections under that, and you're nodding
10	your head yes. That's good. So it seems like
11	I've
12	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
13	MR. PATTON: got the
14	organization
15	MR. VERARDO: Try not to interrupt.
16	Do you want the rest of the pieces? It's
17	personnel and planning and the training
18	section. And again, administratively later,
19	the Office of Historic Preservation.
20	MR. PATTON: Now, one of the things
21	I'm curious about then is that I understand
22	Administrative Services, this is the money
23	side of headquarters, the budgets, the
24	accounts.
25	MR. VERARDO: Correct.

1	MR. PATTON: And so you here you
2	were someone who came up as a ranger, and your
3	post you ended up getting post certified
4	and you ran districts. So how was this and
5	what qualified you to take over the
6	Administrative Services side?
7	MR. VERARDO: The director and I,
8	Donald Murphy, were at the training center
9	together also. The training center is a great
10	meeting place because everybody has to go
11	through it. So when we were both staff at the
12	training center, we were talking about the
13	lack of efficiency in State Parks and
14	MR. PATTON: Donald Murphy was
15	director of the whole department?
16	MR. VERARDO: Director of the whole
17	department.
18	MR. PATTON: Okay.
19	MR. VERARDO: He became director of
20	the whole department in I forget. It would
21	have been I went up in '94, and he's the
22	one who brought me up to Sacramento, so it
23	would have been probably '92 that he became
24	director, a couple of years before the
25	reorganization was taking place, elimination

1	of the regions, combining of districts, all of
2	that. So
3	MR. PATTON: Let me just ask you
4	this to follow up on that. So Donald Murphy
5	was director maybe starting around '92
6	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
7	MR. PATTON: and he brought you
8	up to Sacramento in '94.
9	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
10	MR. PATTON: You had met him
11	MR. VERARDO: At our training center
12	which would have been when does he come to
13	the training center? Let me guess, '81.
14	MR. PATTON: Okay. So you met him
15	in the early '80s. He brought you up after he
16	becomes director
17	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
18	MR. PATTON: in '94. And then he
19	was director, I assume until about I think
20	it was '98 that Rusty Areias was appointed.
21	MR. VERARDO: Donald resigned,
22	taking another position, just maybe six months
23	or eight months before the election. Now, you
24	know, I can't be certain of that, but
25	MR. PATTON: Okay.

1	MR. VERARDO: he wasn't Director
2	until the end of the administration because he
3	knew the administration was going to change,
4	so he took another position.
5	MR. PATTON: In '92, he would have
6	been appointed by whom?
7	MR. VERARDO: Wilson.
8	MR. PATTON: Okay.
9	MR. VERARDO: Governor Wilson.
10	MR. PATTON: So then I think Davis
11	is coming in at that point.
12	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
13	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
14	MR. VERARDO: And Governor Davis
15	appointed Rusty Director Areias.
16	MR. PATTON: Got it. So Wilson
17	resigned about six months before.
18	MR. VERARDO: Not Wilson, Murphy.
19	MR. PATTON: That's what I that's
20	a good one. Thank you. Keep me clear here.
21	MR. VERARDO: Hey, I'm having enough
22	trouble with this so
23	MR. PATTON: Yeah. That was a test.
24	You passed that. Good job.
25	And then Davis came in and appointed
	Page 15

1	Rusty Areias.
2	Okay. So you take over you're
3	appointed by Murphy, and in '95, it's Murphy
4	who puts you in charge of Admin Services.
5	MR. VERARDO: Correct. I'm saying
6	'95; it might have been early '96, but I think
7	it was
8	MR. PATTON: All right.
9	MR. VERARDO: late '95.
10	MR. PATTON: And so then my question
11	was, when I interrupted you, so how was that
12	for you? That was here's a park ranger,
13	peace officer, turned head of Admin Services.
14	What's your background that made that
15	possible?
16	MR. VERARDO: Well, my post-doctoral
17	certificate in quality implementation which is
18	efficiency measures, cycle time, error rate
19	measurement, that kind of thing.
20	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
21	MR. PATTON: And so Admin Services
22	was a great fit because we'd been saying all
23	this time how inefficient we were in some
24	things and how the field wasn't getting its
25	fair share of dollars, and we needed to turn
	Page 16

1	that around. So Director Murphy said
2	basically time maybe to do something like
3	that; instead of being staff making
4	recommendations, why not take over a big chunk
5	of the department that really needs to be run
6	more efficiently than it had been. I say,
7	yes, I'll do that, and that was great.
8	And I had, when I was at the
9	regional office of Santa Rosa, a training and
L 0	development assignment as the business
L1	manager, as the Regional Administrative
L 2	Officer. So I had had two years' experience
L 3	running one of the region's administrative
L 4	programs as well, which was a much smaller
L 5	scale, but I could felt that I could and
L 6	did, just could walk into Admin Services. I
L 7	also inherited a heck of a team.
L 8	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
L 9	MR. VERARDO: So that, I think, is
20	very important, perhaps the best team there's
21	ever been in State Parks from an Admin
2 2	standpoint.
23	MR. PATTON: Do you remember in
24	particular who the accounting chief and budget
25	chiefs were?

1	MR. VERARDO: Oh, yes. They would
2	have been Freda Luan-Dun would have been the
3	Accounting Officer
4	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
5	MR. VERARDO: not when I first
6	took over, but fortunately, the previous
7	person retired, so we could appoint someone,
8	new dynamic, probably the year after I became
9	an admin, so I would guess that would be
10	'96-ish, '97 Freda would have come in and then
11	Becky Brown who was the Budget Officer the
12	whole time that I was there.
13	MR. PATTON: So when you arrived in
14	Sacramento, Becky Brown was Budget Officer?
15	MR. VERARDO: Becky Brown was the
16	Budget Officer. And they reported to Tom
17	Domich
18	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
19	MR. VERARDO: who was the
20	Assistant Deputy Director of Admin reported
21	directly to handled most of the financial
22	stuff so that I can handle training and all
23	the rest of it.
24	MR. PATTON: Okay. So he his
25	responsibility was to keep an eye then on the
	Page 18

1 accounting and budget operations. 2 MR. VERARDO: That's -- and business services, yes. 3 4 MR. PATTON: Okay. When budgets 5 were being worked up and all the submittals getting ready to go to Finance, did you leave 6 that to him or did you also review and did 8 people above you review what was going to Finance? 9 10 MR. VERARDO: You know, I left it to 11 him, but he always brought to me budget 12 information that we'd be reporting to Finance because at the Director's office level, then 13 1 4 we had decisions to make. 15 MR. PATTON: Um-hum. MR. VERARDO: We were in a -- I'll 16 17 get into this in a minute, but we were in a very unique program with our districts under 18 19 performance-based budgeting. But for now, let 20 me answer your question and say, yes, Tom was 21 in charge of virtually all the financial 22 decisions, but yes, he showed me everything. 23 It's not like I can say, no, I didn't know 24 anything that was going on during that period 25 of time. That's wrong. I did know --Page 19

1	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
2	MR. VERARDO: certain aspects of
3	the budget because we needed to make financial
4	decisions for the following fiscal year.
5	MR. PATTON: And then who ultimately
6	approves the submittal after this has been
7	worked on and discussed, shown to you, and I
8	assume the Director looks at.
9	MR. VERARDO: Absolutely.
10	MR. PATTON: And then who gives
11	who makes the decision then that, oh, this is
12	what okay, it's ready to go, this is what
13	we're submitting under our budget our
14	proposed budget?
15	MR. VERARDO: Well, after we make
16	those decisions, the direct liaison with
17	Finance were Becky and Tom.
18	MR. PATTON: Okay. So then they
19	fire it off. But what I'm hearing is that
20	there's an executive review process.
21	MR. VERARDO: Absolutely.
22	MR. PATTON: And once the Director
23	and you and everybody's satisfied this is what
24	we want to submit as our proposed budget, then
25	Becky and Tom handle the transmittal.

1	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
2	MR. PATTON: Okay.
3	MR. VERARDO: And the key person,
4	you see, is the Director Deputy Director of
5	Operations, and that's because we give out
6	funding to the districts once the budget is
7	approved by once the governor's budget is
8	approved and we parcel out funding to the
9	twenty-one districts.
10	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
11	MR. VERARDO: And they spend the
12	dollars we parcel out based on their
13	priorities.
14	MR. PATTON: And that's all under
15	the command of the Deputy Director for
16	Operations?
17	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
18	MR. PATTON: And I've heard that
19	operations at the districts get the lion's
20	share of the allocation, about eighty-five
21	percent of the monies that are budgeted.
22	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
23	That's where eighty-five percent of the
24	personnel are.
25	MR. PATTON: Right. And so who was
	Page 21

1	the Deputy Director for Operations back in the
2	'90s when you started?
3	MR. VERARDO: Ken Jones.
4	MR. PATTON: Ken Jones. Okay.
5	MR. VERARDO: Ken Jones, Mary
6	Wright, Donald Murphy, and I were all at the
7	training center. We didn't overlap, Ken was
8	there before me, but we all we were all
9	field operations people, so we all knew each
10	other.
11	MR. PATTON: So when you came in
12	'94, it was Ken Jones.
13	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
14	MR. PATTON: And then at some point
15	it he left that spot and someone else
16	became Deputy Director for Ops. I think it
17	might have been Tony Perez.
18	MR. VERARDO: Yes. Ken retired the
19	year before me. So I'm guessing Ken retired
20	in late 2001 or early 2002. That's a guess.
21	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
22	MR. VERARDO: And then because
23	then I'm winding down. Who would have been
24	there? Bill Berry, William Berry
25	MR. PATTON: Okay.

1	MR. VERARDO: B-E-R-R-Y, also a
2	field operations person. Most of the
3	operations deputies have always come from the
4	field.
5	MR. PATTON: Okay.
6	MR. VERARDO: None of the admin
7	deputies have ever come from the field until I
8	was appointed.
9	MR. PATTON: Okay. So you're there,
10	and you go through this annual budgeting
11	process, and you've got some trusted capable
12	people, Becky Brown in particular, and what
13	was your you obviously worked with her then
14	for a while, about eight years, I think.
15	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
16	MR. PATTON: And what was your
17	opinion of her abilities?
18	MR. VERARDO: Oh, I think that she
19	was one of the State's best Budget Officers.
20	She was well respected within Finance, within
21	all control agency institutions as well as
22	other departments. I still think Becky was
23	the best there's ever been for Parks.
24	MR. PATTON: She knew the
25	intricacies of this budget then?

1	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
2	MR. PATTON: And I understand this
3	is a fairly complicated budget, that there's
4	about twenty-six different
5	MR. VERARDO: It started with
6	twenty-six. By the time I was Deputy, I think
7	we had sixteen or eighteen funding sources.
8	MR. PATTON: Okay.
9	MR. VERARDO: Some had been
10	combined; some had been reduced. One the
11	big
12	MR. PATTON: When you started?
13	MR. VERARDO: When I started.
14	MR. PATTON: And so the funding
15	sources grew from there?
16	MR. VERARDO: No, not actually.
17	MR. PATTON: Okay.
18	MR. VERARDO: No. There were about
19	sixteen when I started and about sixteen at
20	the end because the big
21	MR. PATTON: So the number
22	twenty-six that I've heard, that's wrong; it's
23	about sixteen components?
24	MR. VERARDO: Well, I don't think it
25	was twenty-six
	Page 24
	raye 24

1	MR. PATTON: All right.
2	MR. VERARDO: unless there's
3	some
4	MR. PATTON: All right.
5	MR. VERARDO: minor ones I don't
6	know about.
7	MR. PATTON: Okay.
8	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. That doesn't
9	sound correct, but again, the big three are
10	SPRF, State Parks and Recreation Fund
11	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
12	MR. VERARDO: General Fund
13	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
14	MR. VERARDO: and Off Highway
15	Motor Vehicle accounts, gasoline taxes and
16	green sticker fees. The relationship that we
17	had to look at every year, that's the
18	trickiest, weren't the other funding sources
19	that were dedicated. It's the relationship
20	between SPRF and the General Fund because the
21	more SPRF we would you like to hear this
22	now?
23	MR. PATTON: Sure.
24	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. The more SPRF
25	we earn during performance budgeting where the
	Page 25

1	districts were able to raise or lower fees,
2	our incentive program to the districts for
3	about five or six years was if a district
4	exceeded what they what they're required
5	SPRF collection was, then they were able to
6	keep twenty-five percent of the excess SPRF.
7	If they really exceeded it, and I forget where
8	the breaking point is because that was handled
9	by Operations, but if they really exceeded it,
10	they got to keep fifty percent of the extra
11	SPRF.
12	So it was an incentive program where
13	they had to collect this much. Everything
14	over that much, they got to keep twenty-five
15	percent in the district and fifty percent over
16	that. And that was an approved program under
17	performance budgeting with the Department of
18	Finance, so Department of Finance approved
19	that. The trick is
20	MR. PATTON: That was the commission
21	compensation model when I sold cars.
22	MR. VERARDO: Oh, there you are.
23	MR. PATTON: Go ahead.
24	MR. VERARDO: I think I bought one
25	of those.

1 MR. PATTON: Um-hum. 2 MR. VERARDO: The trick is guessing 3 and asking for spending authority from the Department of Finance for the following year's 4 5 SPRF, because when you ask for that spending authority, there's a certain amount you're 6 going to have to collect. So if you ask for 8 too much and you don't collect it, you're basically in the hole at the end of the fiscal 9 10 year, and that's the art of guessing SPRF 11 because unfortunately, in half of May -- most 12 of May and all of June are huge revenue 13 months --1 4 MR. PATTON: Um-hum. 15 MR. VERARDO: -- very difficult to 16 predict how well you're going to do. 17 If it all works out nice and the weather's gorgeous, you're going to exceed 18 19 your SPRF allocation and be able to budget the district's extra dollars. And we tried to 20 21 monitor that as close as possible. But if it 22 rains in Huntington Beach on June 20th, you 23 are in trouble because that's 100,000 people 24 on the beach at three dollars a pop or 25 whatever it was then, and you could easily go Page 27

1	under millions if the weather turned bad in
2	June.
3	So we always tried to keep a certain
4	amount of SPRF buffer. You never wanted to go
5	under in SPRF. It's always best to go over
6	but not too far over. And that's why you
7	don't have a surprise (indiscernible -
8	simultaneous speaking).
9	MR. PATTON: Go over wouldn't go
10	over in what sense?
11	MR. VERARDO: Over in the amount of
12	revenue you collected.
13	MR. PATTON: Oh, okay. To have
14	revenues exceed your expectations by a bit.
15	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. If they exceed
16	by too much, then it's obvious that the
17	Department of Finance may take that SPRF
18	allocation and use it for the general good of
19	State government, but the general good of
20	State government
21	MR. PATTON: Reduce the SPRF
22	allocation or to actually take the excess
23	revenue?
24	MR. VERARDO: Reduce the General
25	Fund allocation

1	MR. PATTON: Oh, there you go.
2	MR. VERARDO: because we're
3	exceeding the SPRF allocation.
4	MR. PATTON: Right.
5	MR. VERARDO: So the better you do
6	on SPRF consistently, the chances are the
7	lower your General Fund is going
8	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
9	MR. VERARDO: to be done. And in
10	fact, it was pretty consistent that our
11	General Fund was decreased severely in early
12	1990s. Before I came up, there was a huge
13	General Fund hit, and the SPRF allocation
14	increased mandatorily.
15	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
16	MR. VERARDO: That was not a request
17	of the department and
18	MR. PATTON: How did it increase
19	mandatorily? You increased fees?
20	MR. VERARDO: Yes. Basically the
21	Department of Finance said, here's what we're
22	expecting you to collect.
23	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
2 4	MR. VERARDO: So we gave flexibility
25	to the districts to be able to adjust their
	Page 29

1	fees at the district level. Before that,
2	fees and I don't know the years on this
3	before I came just before I came up.
4	Before that, fees were fixed state-wide, so
5	you paid two dollars for parking at Big Basin,
6	you paid two dollars for parking at Huntington
7	Beach, and it didn't matter what season it
8	was.
9	The districts then were given
10	flexibility to adjust those fees seasonally
11	and individually at the parks at their
12	districts so that they could for instance,
13	Big Basin, if we know all the campsites are
14	going to be full all summer, instead of a five
15	dollar fee, they know they can get along with
16	an eight dollar fee. The heart to that
17	prediction is not making fees so high that
18	suddenly you have vacancy rates and you
19	actually shoot yourself in the foot by raising
2 0	the fees too high.
21	But the districts pretty much knew
2 2	their operations. I mean, their field people
2 3	knew that they could raise on the beach in the
2 4	summertime, for instance, and collect more
25	revenue. So that increased the amount of SPRF
	Page 30

1	we were taking in by a considerable amount,
2	and therefore, the General Fund was reduced by
3	some amount.
4	MR. PATTON: Okay. So it was not a
5	dumb move on the State's part
6	MR. VERARDO: No.
7	MR. PATTON: because you
8	certainly had the capacity to increase
9	revenues.
10	MR. VERARDO: Absolutely. And in
11	fact, we wanted to because the first cut we
12	took, which I believe was around ten million
13	dollars in 1990-'92-ish, when Governor Wilson
14	first took over, that first ten million dollar
15	hit was just taken in General Fund, you know,
16	too bad, find a way around it or come up with
17	a plan.
18	The only non-option in our mind,
19	when I came up there, was closing parks. We
20	had a closure list just in case, but that's
21	something we never wanted to do. That was
22	kind of a that would have been a cop out
23	because we knew, at least at the beginning,
24	those early years we knew we could raise
25	revenue and had other ways to do it.

1	MR. PATTON: Yeah. I remember that
2	'91-'92 were some lean years for the State;
3	there was a fiscal crunch.
4	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, it was.
5	MR. PATTON: I remember that. I got
6	out of law school at Davis in '89, and tuition
7	doubled the following year in '90.
8	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
9	MR. PATTON: Yeah. So those were
10	the years when State was looking for ways to
11	reduce General Fund appropriations
12	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
13	MR. PATTON: to all kinds of
14	agencies. Yeah.
15	MR. VERARDO: Yes. In fact, I think
16	we did a good job because frankly, number one,
17	Department of Finance knew everything we were
18	doing. It surprises me in the newspaper to
19	see in recent times they're not looking at
20	Controller's tapes or whatever. I never
21	looked at those, by the way. They were kind
22	of meaningless because they're after-the-fact
23	reporting. What we reported to Finance, which
24	was a different set of paperwork, was what we
25	always considered accurate, and Finance's job
	Dago 32

1	was to make sure that we were reconciling
2	properly.
3	But we were awarded certificates for
4	the SPRF account from the Controller's office.
5	We were given pats on the back from Department
6	of Finance. We won every major award for
7	efficiency you can win, and we were audited
8	backward, forward, upside down. Because we
9	were a performance budget pilot, Department of
10	Finance audited us, the legislative analyst's
11	office came in
12	MR. PATTON: This was when?
13	MR. VERARDO: the Bureau of State
14	audits
15	MR. PATTON: When?
16	MR. VERARDO: This would have been
17	'90 I'm guessing, '95, '96, '97, '98.
18	MR. PATTON: You were a performance-
19	based budget pilot program
20	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
21	MR. PATTON: in those years?
22	MR. VERARDO: Those years, from '95,
23	'96, '97, '98, '99. 2000 we pulled ourselves
24	out because we were the only department left
25	on the program.
	D240 22

1	MR. PATTON: And just sort of
2	briefly encapsulate how you describe
3	performance-based budget. I think you've
4	described it in talking about really examining
5	and zeroing on in fee revenue, figuring out
6	how to maximize
7	MR. VERARDO: Performance budget
8	let's look at the governor's budget level as
9	completely different than the current line
L 0	item budget. So we really ran two accounting
L1	shops during the pilot, one because the
L 2	legislature and Department of Finance would
L 3	not free us from the traditional galley and
L 4	display in the governor's budget like you see
L 5	now and saw then.
L 6	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
L 7	MR. VERARDO: But at the same time,
L 8	we had a performance budget display, and the
L 9	accounting is different because the one is
2 0	line item by fund source coming in then going
21	out. Performance budget display shows what
2 2	we're spending the money on at a program
23	level, so resource management natural
2 4	resource management, cultural resource
25	management, recreation, enforcement, something

1	else. It showed where the monies were going
2	specifically to those programs. So the
3	accounting, it was completely different.
4	And in fact, Freda came up and
5	worked with the Department of Finance CALSTARS
6	unit to be able to capture the income and
7	expenditure data based on a performance budget
8	because CALSTARS doesn't lend itself to be
9	able to do that easily. CALSTARS is a good
10	accounting tool, but it's not a budget tool.
11	People keep wanting to make it a budget tool,
12	but it's basically not a budget tool; it's an
13	accounting tool.
14	So CALSTARS was modified to be able
15	to capture this performance budget
16	information. So we sent two pieces of
17	information to the Department of Finance, a
18	performance budget based on the pilot and our
19	pilot districts and a regular budget based on
2 0	the entire department and the district's not
21	on performance budgeting.
2 2	That's as clear as mud, isn't it?
23	MR. PATTON: Well, I'm still
2 4	trying I'm looking for a succinct kind of
2 5	definition. Performance budgeting relies
	Page 35

I'm just going to take a stab at it; I could be way off. Performance budgeting then relies on some fairly accurate predictions as to what a revenue-generating fund is going to actually generate.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. VERARDO: And the expenditures are shown by program instead of by line item. So instead of seeing, we spent this much on SPRF, although it shows that too, but spent this much on SPRF, it shows we spent this much on SPRF in the following categories, in the following specific activities that are taking place in the districts so that you could say the ideal -- and where we were trying to get to with performance budgeting was, for instance, if in the Northern Redwoods they were spending thirty percent of their money on natural resource management but in the Santa Cruz mountains redwoods they were spending sixty-eight percent, it enabled us to say why is this district spending this much on this activity and this district's spending this much more or this much less or whatever, and we could go in and investigate and say, hey, there's some efficiencies here in this

1	district or you should be spending more of
2	your money here. So one is a programmatic
3	look specifically, and the other is a line
4	item look.
5	MR. PATTON: Okay. So you said that
6	you you mentioned that you didn't look at
7	the accounting reports to the Controller
8	because that was done after the fact, you
9	said.
10	MR. VERARDO: Correct. I didn't.
11	MR. PATTON: Yeah. And that you
12	what you did look at were the reports in the
13	budget items going to Finance.
14	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
15	MR. PATTON: And I understand
16	that my rudimentary understanding is that
17	the reports going to the Controller are cash
18	basis accounting reports; it's essentially
19	your checkbook showing what the balance of
20	funds is in the bank and maybe accounting for
21	expenditures in the pipeline, checks not yet
22	cashed.
23	MR. VERARDO: Yes, that's my
24	understanding too, because the only thing I
25	really cared about in that explanation was did

1	we get a certificate from the Controller's
2	office
3	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
4	MR. VERARDO: or not.
5	MR. PATTON: And I've heard about
6	certificates. Lots of certificates are
7	received. I think the accounting department's
8	numbers over the years, from what I've seen,
9	and of course, you know, they work with the
10	Controller constantly, they're reconciling,
11	and I've seen no indication that those numbers
12	were ever off. You're nodding your head yes.
13	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
14	MR. PATTON: You'd never heard of
15	them being off?
16	MR. VERARDO: No.
17	MR. PATTON: What I'm curious about
18	is, so you've got what they tell me is the
19	annual fund account statement with the
20	Controller, the year-ending account statement
21	saying that the balance of funds is in all
22	these accounts, and I'm looking primarily at
23	SPRF, and I guess I want you to describe to me
24	how that balance interplays with the budget
25	that gets worked up and what's submitted to

1	Finance, something I've heard referred to as a
2	fund condition statement.
3	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. And I wish I
4	knew because I don't. I left that up to
5	Accounting, and the only thing
6	MR. PATTON: Wait, wait, wait.
7	The fund condition statement itself is
8	prepared by the budget office, right?
9	MR. VERARDO: Oh, by the budget
10	office, yes, and we submit that to the
11	Department of Finance. But what the fund
12	condition report showed, in my mind, was never
13	a level of concern
14	MR. PATTON: Okay.
15	MR. VERARDO: as long as we were
16	within certain parameters. In other words,
17	looking at June, okay, if we were a couple of
18	million dollars over in SPRF on the fund
19	condition, to me, that wasn't a big deal.
20	MR. PATTON: If you were a couple
21	million over? Over what?
22	MR. VERARDO: Over what our spending
23	authority was.
24	MR. PATTON: Okay. If you were a
25	couple million in revenue above your spending
	Page 39

1	authority?
2	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
3	MR. PATTON: Okay.
4	MR. VERARDO: Not a I mean, that
5	would have been normal being within ten
6	percent of your allocation would have been the
7	normal kind of
8	MR. PATTON: Sure.
9	MR. VERARDO: I'm making up ten
10	percent, but something reasonable. Fifty
11	percent or twenty percent or twenty-five
12	percent would have been I would have
13	expected, you know, Becky to let Tom know or
14	to let me know that we've got a red flag
15	issue.
16	MR. PATTON: If your revenues
17	exceeded or fell short by more than about ten
18	percent, I understand that idea because this
19	is an account you're having to try to predict
20	revenue.
21	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
22	MR. PATTON: So you may or may not
23	hit your prognosticated amount right on.
24	MR. VERARDO: Right.
25	MR. PATTON: And your appropriation
	mk. FAITON. And your appropriation

1	is tied to what you're predicting
2	MR. VERARDO: Right.
3	MR. PATTON: the year ahead. So
4	it's going to be normal that and you're
5	doing a pretty good job if you're within ten
6	percent plus or minus.
7	MR. VERARDO: That's what I always
8	felt.
9	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
10	MR. VERARDO: It's going to be
11	you know, a couple of years, as I recall, we
12	hit it right on the money, which is
13	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
14	MR. VERARDO: what you want to do
15	because you can budget based on a certain
16	stability because some years revenue is going
17	to go up, some years down. There's a normal
18	wiggle. It's trying to hit the midline in
19	that wiggle so that you're consistent.
20	MR. PATTON: And like you said, one
21	of the tricks is to you want to make sure
22	that you don't overspend. You're kind of
23	in terms of your spending plan, one of the
2 4	tricks is to make sure your spending plan is
25	conservative enough so that you'll have a
	Page 41

1	little bit of a cushion
2	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
3	MR. PATTON: based on expected
4	revenue.
5	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
6	MR. PATTON: Okay.
7	MR. VERARDO: Especially in
8	Operations. They
9	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
10	MR. VERARDO: held back a certain
11	amount from the districts in case when we
12	looked at mid year or towards the end of the
13	fiscal year we were short, it could be
14	covered.
15	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
16	MR. VERARDO: And so that money was
17	given out at the end of the year if it looked
18	like we were achieving all our
19	MR. PATTON: And who made the
20	decision how much to hold back (indiscernible
21	- simultaneous speaking).
22	MR. VERARDO: That would have been
23	the Deputy for Operations; that would have
2 4	been Ken Jones within the operations part.
25	MR. PATTON: So Admin Services gives
	Page 42

1	Operations they say, here's how much you're
2	going to have.
3	MR. VERARDO: That's right. We give
4	them the full bucket.
5	MR. PATTON: And then the decision
6	what to retain as a reserve in the event of
7	revenues not achieving expectations, that's
8	the Parks Operation Deputy Director.
9	MR. VERARDO: That's Operations,
10	yeah. And of course, we work closely
11	together.
12	MR. PATTON: Okay. Now, so you're
13	familiar with a fund condition statement that
14	goes to Finance?
15	MR. VERARDO: I'm sort of familiar
16	with it.
17	MR. PATTON: Is it do you
18	understand that the account I mean, my
19	rudimentary understanding of how the financial
2 0	world works is that because I've never done
21	a budget or a fund condition statement to
2 2	Finance, but based on what people have told
2 3	me, one of the components of it is to know
2 4	what your balance your account balance is.
25	MR. VERARDO: Yes.

1	MR. PATTON: Okay. So that number
2	that gets reported to the Controller is
3	it's a starting point.
4	MR. VERARDO: Yes. And again,
5	our you know, that's that was pretty
6	much routinely handled by our budget shop
7	MR. PATTON: Right.
8	MR. VERARDO: and the budget
9	Tom, Becky, and Freda were a pretty tight
10	working group because it's all tied together
11	on what that
12	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
13	MR. VERARDO: report's going to
14	look like.
15	MR. PATTON: Yeah. So I guess we go
16	to the numbers at this point because, as it
17	turns out, the amount reflected at the end of
18	the year as the end-of-the-year balance in
19	SPRF as reported into CALSTARS and to the
20	Controller was different than the starting
21	balance amount reflected in the documents to
22	the Department of Finance. And so let's
23	I'm going to show you the spreadsheet now
24	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
25	MR. PATTON: which this top
	Page 44

1	section here is the SPRF, and just above the
2	line is the adjusted Controller balance and
3	the balance as reported in the fund condition
4	statement to Finance.
5	Now, back and so you can see the
6	Controller's balance is starts off as the
7	Controller's budgetary legal basis, the amount
8	reported minus a reserve for encumbrances,
9	maybe some adjustments for payroll, although
10	that's a zero all the way down the line until
11	we get to the very last couple years, and that
12	brings us to an adjusted Controller balance.
13	So the main distinction is reserve for
14	encumbrances, which I understand are basically
15	payments not yet cleared but that have been
16	MR. VERARDO: Right.
17	MR. PATTON: authorized. So you
18	get an adjusted Controller balance. And then
19	this number right above the line is the
20	balance reported in the fund condition
21	statement. And they're off by about four
22	million. It drops to 2.3 million in '95.
23	This is right around when you're taking over.
24	MR. VERARDO: Right.
25	MR. PATTON: And it's back at five
	Page 45

1	and six, and then in '98, '99, 2000, 2001,
2	2002, 2003, it goes through a growth period,
3	that disparity.
4	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
5	MR. PATTON: And so what we're
6	informed is that in '97 at the fiscal year
7	ending '97, the disparity is 6.3 million more
8	is reported to Controller than to Finance.
9	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
10	MR. PATTON: In '98, 9.3 million
11	more is reported to Controller than Finance.
12	The disparity, the underreport, to Finance
13	grows to 13.8; in '99, 19.9; almost twenty
14	million in 2000; 22.7 in '01; 26.8 in '02; and
15	twenty-nine in '03. It then dropped down a
16	little bit in '04 back to 26.6, drops again to
17	22 23.9 in '05, and for the last six years
18	has fairly leveled off in the nineteen to
19	twenty million range
20	MR. VERARDO: Um-hum.
21	MR. PATTON: fairly consistently
22	right around twenty million the last six
23	years.
24	So what we've been told, talking to
25	a number of people, is that and we've not
	Page 46

1	really yet been able to identify exactly why a
2	disparity went into this growth period
3	MR. VERARDO: Um-hum.
4	MR. PATTON: between '98 and
5	2004, but what we've been told is that right
6	around 2000 Becky was aware of this and came
7	to the accounting her accounting peers,
8	Freda
9	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
10	MR. PATTON: and ask for some
11	help trying to understand why their numbers to
12	the Controller were different than what was
13	being reflected in her fund condition
14	statement in the department's fund
15	condition statement.
16	And we're told that some work was
17	done specifically by Dorothy Kroll at Freda
18	and Cheryl Kilzer's request, and that Dorothy
19	determined that there indeed was an error that
20	was being made by the budget shop side and the
21	error was traceable to some erroneous numbers
22	in the prior year adjustment figure and
23	MR. VERARDO: Okay. Because that is
24	a big number.
25	MR. PATTON: You're looking at the
	Page 47
	_ 5.5 0 1,

1	twenty million in 2000. Well, it gets bigger.
2	So what we're curious about is we
3	know that from various witness reports, we
4	know that Becky started looking into this,
5	that Dorothy, in particular, personally looked
6	into this. She came up with some
7	explanations. She didn't dissect to the level
8	of knowing exactly where Finance or the
9	budget shop side was making the error, but
10	she
11	MR. VERARDO: One question.
12	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
13	MR. VERARDO: Who's Dorothy?
14	MR. PATTON: She was an
15	accounting
16	MR. VERARDO: Oh.
17	MR. PATTON: She was an accounting
18	supervisor.
19	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
20	MR. PATTON: She was one of the
21	accounting staff.
22	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
23	MR. PATTON: You never knew her?
24	MR. VERARDO: I don't remember her.
25	MR. PATTON: Okay.
	Page 48
	rage 40

1	MR. VERARDO: Let's put it that
2	MR. PATTON: And she later took over
3	Freda's position as the Accounting Chief.
4	She's the Accounting Chief today.
5	MR. VERARDO: Oh, okay.
б	MR. PATTON: Been there a long time.
7	So she's she identified the problem. It
8	got reported back to Becky, and we're told
9	that Becky and Freda reported this to Tom.
10	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
11	MR. PATTON: And I'm curious whether
12	or not they ever discussed the problem that
13	they were having getting the fund condition
14	statement consistent for the SPRF, consistent
15	with the accounting statement.
16	MR. VERARDO: I never heard of it,
17	and it's hard to believe that Tom or Becky
18	wouldn't have told me unless there was so much
19	confusion going on in here that they wanted to
20	sort it out first. But no, I had absolutely
21	no idea.
22	Now, here's where I leave, so this
23	is
24	MR. PATTON: You said you left
25	the

1	MR. VERARDO: Probably early 2003.
2	MR. PATTON: early part of '03.
3	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
4	MR. PATTON: Now, in April of '02,
5	the Finance Department issued to all the
6	Budget Officers a memo, and they issued an
7	identical memo in 2003, I guess after you'd
8	left, June 5 of '03. The text of it is the
9	same. It's the same author, Yoshi Fujiwara
10	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
11	MR. PATTON: program budget
12	manager at Finance. And this address, I'm
13	told, is the group it's a group address for
14	the Budget Officers of various departments
15	that have special funds. And it says, "A
16	recent State Controller's Office review
17	revealed many differences between
18	corresponding prior year fund balances in the
19	Controller annual report and in the governor's
20	budget."
21	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
22	MR. PATTON: "Departments are
23	responsible for reconciling these
24	differences."
25	And then it talks about special
	Dage 50
	Page 50

1	funds, finance designation, administering
2	organizations. So Parks is the administering
3	organization for the SPRF fund
4	MR. VERARDO: Right.
5	MR. PATTON: as I understand it.
6	The administering organization prepares the
7	fund condition statement for the governor's
8	budget and must reconcile fund balance
9	differences between the Controller's annual
10	report and the governor's budget. So it's
11	basically telling you we've identified some
12	disparities, and we need these reconciled.
13	And the attachment shows that two of the
14	special funds identified are in the Parks
15	Department, the Off Highway Vehicle and the
16	SPRF fund. And the discrepancy identified at
17	year ending I think this would be '01
18	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
19	MR. PATTON: is 22,765,000
20	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
21	MR. PATTON: which is what we
22	have on the spreadsheet here.
23	MR. VERARDO: Um-hum.
24	MR. PATTON: And a similar memo is
25	issued in '03 after you've left, and this
	Page 51
	1 330 31

1	would be for year end '02, and it's the
2	disparity now in the SPRF is 26,825,000, and
3	the Off Highway Vehicle is not mentioned. So
4	in '02, April of '02, you're still there.
5	This memo comes out.
6	MR. VERARDO: Um-hum.
7	MR. PATTON: Nobody ever told you
8	about this memo?
9	MR. VERARDO: No, I don't ever
10	remember seeing it. If I had, I don't
11	remember it.
12	MR. PATTON: Okay.
13	MR. VERARDO: I certainly didn't
14	know about these numbers.
15	MR. PATTON: So it's interesting.
16	So you if you have no knowledge, I'm
17	assuming, obviously you could never have had a
18	chat with your director about it who was
19	Murphy, I think you said.
20	MR. VERARDO: Right. Donald
21	Murphy
22	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
23	MR. VERARDO: 2000 would have
24	been
25	MR. PATTON: Right.
	Page 52
	1436 32

1	MR. VERARDO: Rusty.
2	MR. PATTON: Right.
3	MR. VERARDO: But no, I never had a
4	conversation with the Director, and no one
5	ever had a conversation with me.
6	MR. PATTON: So and you also
7	back then, did Rusty conduct, as I understand
8	is conducted today, what are called executive
9	committee meetings where the department heads
10	are all in a meeting?
11	MR. VERARDO: Yes, but we rarely
12	discussed finances.
13	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
14	MR. VERARDO: We discussed the Bond
15	Act because our budget in 2000 was a billion
16	dollars and which shoots up because the
17	largest state park bond in the country's
18	history passed
19	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
20	MR. VERARDO: 2.1 billion dollar
21	state park bond but one billion administered
22	by Department of Parks and Recreation, 330
23	million dollar a year for three years in
24	capital improvements. So that was our that
25	was really our big focus in 2000, and any
	Page 53
	rage 33

1	discussions at headquarters, those I do
2	remember because we didn't feel we had the
3	ability to spend that much capital outlay
4	program when we had such a reduced staff in
5	the capital outlay unit.
6	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
7	MR. VERARDO: So that's a longer
8	story than you want to hear, but that meant
9	that was very important for us to be able to
10	ramp up on construction issue contracts. So
11	our focus was more on contracts, what were the
12	priorities to the department.
13	MR. PATTON: You say your when
14	you say "our", you're talking about you and
15	the Director that (indiscernible -
16	simultaneous speaking).
17	MR. VERARDO: Oh, the Director and
18	Ken Jones and
19	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
20	MR. VERARDO: you know, that core
21	staff.
22	MR. PATTON: So what I'm hearing
23	from you is that and you said this early
24	on, was that Tom Domich, one of his
25	responsibilities was to supervise the

1	financial side
2	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
3	MR. PATTON: the accounting and
4	the budgeting. And we walked through this,
5	and we talked about, yeah, the budget would be
6	looked at by you and by the Director, but I'm
7	gathering that in terms of understanding all
8	the nuts and bolts within the budget, that was
9	left to people below you.
10	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
11	MR. PATTON: And was it your
12	understanding is it your opinion that Tom
13	Domich was someone who understood the nuts and
14	bolts of the budget?
15	MR. VERARDO: Oh, I think Tom,
16	Becky, and Freda all understood the nuts and
17	bolts of the budget. Tom had been the Budget
18	Officer.
19	MR. PATTON: Okay. So I'm I
20	guess what's really curious is that we know
21	that they determined that there was a problem,
22	that the numbers had diverged.
23	MR. VERARDO: And you mentioned that
24	that was roughly in
25	MR. PATTON: 2000
	Dage 55

1	MR. VERARDO: 2000-2001.
2	MR. PATTON: 2001, yeah. And
3	you're saying they didn't report it to me
4	MR. VERARDO: No.
5	MR. PATTON: and go ahead.
6	MR. VERARDO: They might have been
7	trying to figure out was it really a problem
8	or was it a
9	MR. PATTON: Why do you say that?
10	MR. VERARDO: reporting issue.
11	Why do I say that?
12	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
13	MR. VERARDO: Because if this came
14	up, they would need to reconcile the books
15	MR. PATTON: Right.
16	MR. VERARDO: from both the
17	performance budget and remember, again, we
18	went off performance budgeting in 2000.
19	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
20	MR. VERARDO: So Freda is trying to
21	get the accounting shop back on the regular
22	budget. So the reason I say in their defense,
23	at least in 2000-2001, is I'm guessing do they
24	think this is really a reporting error or not.
25	Now, the document you're showing me
	Da
	Page 56

1 here means that they would be going back through a lot of paperwork if this is attached 2 because that's showing that the Department of 3 Finance is saying there's a discrepancy. 4 5 MR. PATTON: Um-hum. MR. VERARDO: Now, how long it would 6 7 take Freda and Becky to reconstruct the 8 accounting and budget documents to see if it 9 was really a discrepancy or not, I don't know, but I would guess at least one fiscal year 10 11 because it took us more than one fiscal year to back out of the performance budget. 12 13 After that though, I have no explanation because at that point in time, 14 15 when you hit 2003-'4, I mean, the answer 16 should have been, A, there's a big mistake or, 17 two, what gets reported to the Controller's office is a completely different set of 18 19 paperwork, it's never going to match, it's always going to be off, but I'm guessing 20 21 because I have no answer for that. 22 MR. PATTON: And when you said --23 you said that what they may have been doing in 24 2000-2001 was trying to figure it out, but you 25 don't have any actual knowledge -- you're

1	nodding your head no.
2	MR. VERARDO: No, I don't have any
3	actual knowledge other than the knowledge that
4	Freda had to get the accounting system back on
5	a single accounting system with and all the
6	regular budget system that, you know
7	MR. PATTON: And she had to do that
8	when?
9	MR. VERARDO: That would have
10	been since I pulled us out in 2000, that
11	would have been the 2000-2001, 2001-2002
12	fiscal years.
13	MR. PATTON: So tell me again what
14	was going on then that she had to do. This is
15	when you
16	MR. VERARDO: Well, we have two
17	MR. PATTON: were going on
18	performance-based budgeting?
19	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. As I understand
20	it, you know not as I understand it. We
21	had two accounting systems basically, one
22	reporting for performance budgeting, one
23	reporting on the regular budget system. When
24	we went off performance budgeting, we would
25	have had to get all the there were seven
	Page 58

1	pilot districts within the department. She
2	would have had to get those pilot districts
3	back on the regular accounting system.
4	MR. PATTON: When did you go off of
5	performance budgeting?
6	MR. VERARDO: 2000.
7	MR. PATTON: Okay. So she's got to
8	get them off of that, and I guess I still
9	don't have enough of an appreciation for what
10	the difference was from condition accounting
11	standpoint between performance-based budgeting
12	and
13	MR. VERARDO: From an accounting
14	standpoint, you'd have to ask Freda
15	MR. PATTON: Yes.
16	MR. VERARDO: because she worked
17	with CALSTARS which was, I know, a big job
18	because it took us a couple of fiscal years to
19	figure out how to do the accounting for
20	performance budgeting. So I'm guessing that
21	it took at least one fiscal year to get all
22	the paper back into the regular budget system.
23	MR. PATTON: Okay.
24	MR. VERARDO: But that still doesn't
25	explain the continued discrepancy. It might

1	ovolejo it for a gingle figgel veer en merbe
1	explain it for a single fiscal year or maybe
2	even two, but it doesn't explain what I'm
3	seeing here.
4	MR. PATTON: Which is that it's
5	continued to the current time.
6	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, because at the
7	point in time that it was identified, then
8	what number is correct, what's reported to the
9	Department of Finance or what the Controller's
10	office is showing, and we're dealing with a
11	pretty archaic system, so which number is
12	correct is unusual. And two, I always
13	considered it the Department of Finance's job
14	to raise a red flag to us like as if there was
15	a problem and to monitor that year after year.
16	That's their job.
17	MR. PATTON: Which they did in '02
18	and '03.
19	MR. VERARDO: Which they did in '02
20	and '03.
21	MR. PATTON: Yeah. Did any
22	MR. VERARDO: Now, if we had a
23	shortage of funds, if it weren't for the Bond
24	Act and by the way, in year 2000, I'd like
25	to point out, was our we say we had one
	Page 60

1	good year in a row. We had all the revenue
2	and budget stuff we wanted in 2000. Those
3	were the good years under the Davis
4	administration, and I don't mean good
5	politically, I mean good from a budgetary
6	standpoint Parks had a lot of money
7	operationally and with the Bond Act, capital
8	outlay wasn't.
9	So not having discrepancies reported
10	to me then, if we had known this discrepancy
11	and we had more in SPRF, even at that point in
12	time I'm not sure we would have had the
13	ability to ask for more spending authority; in
14	other words, this money can't be spent without
15	the Department of Finance authorizing
16	MR. PATTON: Right.
17	MR. VERARDO: the spending of
18	it
19	MR. PATTON: Right.
20	MR. VERARDO: giving you spending
21	authority. I'm not sure in these years we
22	would have asked for it. Department of
23	Finance probably would have used it for
24	something else, although they didn't need it
25	in these fiscal years. These were good years,
	Page 61

1	however, like I say, one good year in a row.
2	Then though you start to hit harder times
3	again.
4	MR. PATTON: So in 2000
5	MR. VERARDO: And in harder times,
6	you (indiscernible - simultaneous speaking).
7	MR. PATTON: was really the peak
8	of the good times.
9	MR. VERARDO: That's it.
10	MR. PATTON: And then things started
11	getting tough again.
12	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
13	MR. PATTON: Okay. In '01, as soon
14	as '01
15	MR. VERARDO: As soon as '01 but for
16	sure '02.
17	MR. PATTON: So the last couple
18	years you were there, in '02 and '03, things
19	started getting lean again?
20	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. And again, I
21	retired early '03.
22	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
23	MR. VERARDO: But I don't remember
24	anything getting so lean that we had the
25	concerns we did of the early '90s, but until
	Page 62

1	recent times we didn't you know, where you
2	read in the paper about potential or closing
3	parks and all that. That, in my career, only
4	occurred in 1992, '93, '94, '95 where we were
5	really hurting on from a budgetary
6	standpoint.
7	MR. PATTON: Do you remember
8	anything about the Off Highway Vehicle fund?
9	Any (indiscernible - simultaneous speaking)?
10	MR. VERARDO: Off Highway Vehicle
11	fund, you know, had its own administration,
12	even though that would go through Becky
13	obviously for reporting to the Department of
14	Finance, but because that was a trust account,
15	which is different than SPRF, I don't know if
16	anybody undoubtedly there's a
17	(indiscernible).
18	But let me explain my understanding
19	of it again. SPRF is not a trust account
20	which means if the governor's office or
21	Department of Finance needs money that might
22	be over in SPRF, that can be used for other
23	things. The Off Highway Vehicle fund is a
24	trust account, and it became a trust account
25	because the department was using the revenues

1	in the Off Highway Vehicle account before it
2	was a trust account for the general good of
3	the system because it was raising so much
4	money. The Off Highway Vehicle community
5	basically lobbied successfully to make it a
6	trust account where the monies had to be spent
7	strictly within the Off Highway Vehicle
8	Division or to grants to Off Highway Vehicle
9	uses. So it couldn't be spent on other
L 0	things.
L1	That's about all I know because I
L 2	never monitored their balances. We always
L 3	felt they had a there was always going to
L 4	be a surplus. It was impossible internally to
L 5	spend all the revenue that was being raised in
L 6	the Off Highway Motor Vehicle fund.
L 7	MR. PATTON: Anybody ever tell you
L 8	about the legislature taking loans against the
L 9	OHV account?
20	MR. VERARDO: They took a loan
21	against the OHV account in one of the years
2 2	that I was still there, and the loan had to be
23	paid back. And if they took it recently, it
24	would have to be paid back, too. I understand
25	that the legislature now has found a way

1	around that though reading between the lines
1	around that though, reading between the lines
2	in the paper, and that's to capture the
3	dollars before it enters the trust fund. In
4	other words, as revenues are earned, the
5	legislature could take that in which case it's
6	bypassing the fund itself.
7	MR. PATTON: So it's a diversion of
8	the dollars before they hit the trust account?
9	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. Now, we have a
10	couple of other parks that operate like that.
11	MR. PATTON: Wait. Let me ask you
12	about that.
13	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
14	MR. PATTON: The Off Highway Vehicle
15	community that lobbied to get this designated
16	as a trust fund, they ever complain, they ever
17	made aware that monies get diverted, get
18	MR. VERARDO: Well, the loan, at the
19	time I was there, the most
20	MR. PATTON: What you just
21	described, and I haven't picked the right
22	adjective or adverb yet
23	MR. VERARDO: Okay.
24	MR. PATTON: whether it's a
25	
	seizure of funds before they hit the trust

1	account
2	MR. VERARDO: Yes.
3	MR. PATTON: or a diversion or
4	I don't know a using of these and
5	MR. VERARDO: Yes, a loophole.
6	MR. PATTON: it's a loophole that
7	you're indicating to me is something the
8	legislatures figured out how to take advantage
9	of?
10	MR. VERARDO: I'm guessing it's LAO
11	or the Department of Finance figured out how
12	to do it. That is way after my time.
13	MR. PATTON: Okay.
14	MR. VERARDO: My time is the loan.
15	MR. PATTON: So we don't know that
16	it's the legislature
17	MR. VERARDO: No.
18	MR. PATTON: or the LAO is
19	redirecting some funds before it hits the
20	it could be Finance.
21	MR. VERARDO: It could be Finance.
22	MR. PATTON: All right. But you
23	have heard that that's occurred?
24	MR. VERARDO: I've yeah, but it's
25	rumor.
	Page 66
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	MR. PATTON: Oh, it's rumor?
2	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
3	MR. PATTON: We have no evidence of
4	that.
5	MR. VERARDO: This is after my time.
6	They have absolutely no evidence.
7	MR. PATTON: Based on anecdotal
8	complaints.
9	MR. VERARDO: Based on yes.
10	MR. PATTON: Okay. All right.
11	MR. VERARDO: Because I don't talk
12	much about the department anymore. When I
13	left, I left. I did not want to go back as a
14	retired annuitant. I had a fantastic
15	thirty-two years. The day I left I loved it
16	as much as the day I started, but I was a
17	member of what I call the golden handcuffs. I
18	was a peace officer. So staying longer than
19	thirty-two years has no benefit.
20	MR. PATTON: So nobody ever talked
21	to you about we've had some wild disparities
22	and big swings in numbers in terms of
23	disparate reporting to Controller and Finance
24	regarding the Off Highway Vehicle fund?
25	MR. VERARDO: Not as I recall.
	Dago 67

1	MR. PATTON: All right.
2	MR. VERARDO: That would be
3	MR. PATTON: That would be what?
4	MR. VERARDO: I was going to say, I
5	think I would remember if I was told
6	because you know, even though we were
7	dealing with capital outlay, if someone said,
8	hey, we got a twenty million dollar problem, I
9	think I would have known.
10	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
11	MR. VERARDO: But now, no one ever
12	told me. I don't recall that at all.
13	MR. PATTON: Does it surprise you
14	seeing these numbers for the SPRF, that there
15	was a twenty million plus disparity and that
16	Tom Domich and Becky didn't talk to you about
17	this?
18	MR. VERARDO: It would have
19	surprised me in here it wouldn't have
20	surprised me in here
21	MR. PATTON: 2000
22	MR. VERARDO: until they had an
23	answer.
24	MR. PATTON: Okay. So in 2001 or '2
25	when they start to get some answers, you are
	Page 68
	rage 00

1	surprised.
2	MR. VERARDO: I am surprised. If I
3	didn't hear in here, I'm surprised.
4	MR. PATTON: Okay.
5	MR. VERARDO: So I'm not so
6	surprised here if this is
7	MR. PATTON: 2000.
8	MR. VERARDO: when it was first
9	identified, yeah, because I would have said,
10	okay, figure it out if they had
11	MR. PATTON: Right.
12	MR. PATTON: talked to me, but
13	I'm sure that didn't
14	MR. PATTON: Right.
15	MR. VERARDO: because they're.
16	That was delegated to them. I take
17	responsibility, I'm the Deputy for Admin, but
18	I didn't know it, I would suspect again that
19	they were trying to figure out why.
20	MR. PATTON: Yeah, in 2000-2001.
21	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
22	MR. PATTON: Okay. All right. Now
23	I'm going to let Mr. Rich ask any accounting
24	follow-up type questions in case he has any.
25	MR. VERARDO: And again, you can
	Page 69

1	tell by my conversation I'm not an accountant,
2	but I'll answer anything you have as well as I
3	understand it.
4	MR. PATTON: You can probably tell
5	from my conversation I'm not either.
6	MR. RICH: Okay. Now, during your
7	tenure at Parks, what would be the average
8	percent of the budget funded by SPRF?
9	MR. VERARDO: Percent of the budget
10	funded
11	MR. RICH: Yeah.
12	MR. PATTON: Are you talking about
13	the Parks' entire budget?
14	MR. VERARDO: I'm trying to
15	remember the pot
16	MR. RICH: Well
17	MR. VERARDO: but I'm guessing
18	thirty to thirty-three percent
19	MR. RICH: Okay. Yeah.
20	MR. VERARDO: of the entire
21	budget was SPRF.
22	MR. RICH: Yes. Okay.
23	MR. VERARDO: That's a guess.
24	MR. RICH: Okay. That's fine. I
25	was just trying to get an idea.
	Page 70

1	MR. VERARDO: And an equal amount
2	a little more than that would be General Fund,
3	so I think we're talking like let me make
4	it up sixty-five percent of our budget was
5	General Fund and SPRF.
6	MR. RICH: Okay. Yeah. In terms of
7	performance budget or that process, do you
8	recall this being a target in variances shown?
9	Was that part of why you do a performance
10	budget, to see if you varied as to some goal,
11	in other words actual versus budget?
12	MR. VERARDO: Actual versus budget.
13	MR. RICH: Was that part of what you
14	needed to do it?
15	MR. VERARDO: Yes, that was there
16	were targets because performance budgeting is
17	concerned about results, what is fiscally
18	happening to the dollars. That's what our
19	targets were. It's how much of the public was
20	getting served in their interpretation and
21	number of campfire talks. How well were we
22	doing with that. How much budget were we
23	allocating to that. What did the graph show
2 4	we could reduce the budget in interpretation
25	and keep the level of public service at a
	Page 71

1	consistent rate.
2	In other words, the moment the
3	public saying we're not liking Parks'
4	interpretive programs as much as we used to,
5	we would prepare a graph showing, okay, here's
6	how we've cut the budget and here's
7	dissatisfaction going up. But as long as the
8	satisfaction level stayed at that eighty
9	percent, eighty-five percent range, then we
L 0	knew that we could take those dollars and put
L1	them into enforcement or some other fund. So
L 2	that's the way the performance budget worked.
L 3	It wasn't the revenue targets under
L 4	performance budgeting or district revenue
L 5	targets.
L 6	Did that explain what you wanted?
L 7	MR. RICH: Yeah. Were you involved
L 8	in preparing okay, let me back up. Did you
L 9	have any involvement in terms of the next
2 0	year's budget as far as estimated
21	expenditures?
2 2	MR. VERARDO: No. That would have
2 3	been Tom Domich with Operations. Then once
2 4	the admin and operation's budgets were
2 5	reconciled, then I would get involved, and we
	Page 72

1	as the Director staff would discuss is this
2	realistic or not and how should we divvy it
3	up.
4	MR. RICH: Did you have any
5	involvement in estimating revenue for the next
6	budget year?
7	MR. VERARDO: I didn't.
8	MR. PATTON: You didn't.
9	MR. VERARDO: No. That's, again,
10	operations were the estimator.
11	MR. RICH: Right. Okay. Was it
12	your understanding that the actual current
13	year fund balance for SPRF was part of the
14	budget process? In other words, the fund
15	balance affected ultimately what could be
16	spent for the next year? Is that the
17	relevant did you understand my question?
18	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, I understood
19	your question, and no, I never looked at fund
20	balance. My assumption was on what I was
21	being shown was this is what our budget was
22	going to be and here's how we were going to
23	break it up.
24	MR. RICH: Okay. All right. I
25	don't have any other questions.

1	MR. PATTON: Thanks, Jeff.
2	MR. RICH: Sure.
3	MR. PATTON: Mike, do you have any
4	questions?
5	MR. MATTSON: No, sir.
6	MR. PATTON: Okay. I think we've
7	asked you all the questions we can think of.
8	You've got my card if anything comes to
9	recollection, particularly about anybody ever
10	hinting at disparities in numbers, but I get
11	the sense that's not likely to happen.
12	MR. VERARDO: No. In fact, let me
13	put one more thing
14	MR. PATTON: Sure.
15	MR. VERARDO: for you before we
16	close, and that's that I looked in my files
17	because I kept some performance budget
18	information obviously
19	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
20	MR. VERARDO: but unfortunately
21	it has nothing to do with this stuff. It's
22	more how do you do a performance budget. So I
23	looked through all of my files and I had
24	absolutely nothing, and I wish I did, because
25	ten years is a long time to try to remember.
	Page 74

1	But I had absolutely nothing on fund balance,
2	so why this would occur, because when I saw
3	this stuff, it obviously concerned me too.
4	And I've seen this document before.
5	MR. PATTON: You've seen what
6	document?
7	MR. VERARDO: I've seen these
8	numbers before you showed them to me.
9	MR. PATTON: You've seen the
10	disparity?
11	MR. VERARDO: I've seen the
12	disparity in these years.
13	MR. PATTON: When did you see that?
14	MR. VERARDO: Because Aaron
15	Robertson asked me if I knew what the heck was
16	going on
17	MR. PATTON: Oh.
18	MR. VERARDO: with this
19	disparity, and I told him I have absolutely no
20	idea. The only reason I could think of a
21	disparity in these years well, I can't
22	think of any reason here. The only reason I
23	could think of a disparity is because of an
24	error in the reporting and trying to get or
25	trying to get spending authority from the
	Page 75

1	Department of Finance. I mean, it's not
2	explainable to me other than from what you've
3	shown me and that there is some technical
4	error going on, I don't it's not
5	explainable.
6	MR. PATTON: You said the only
7	reason was error or to get spending authority
8	from the Department of Finance?
9	MR. VERARDO: Well, back in here,
10	you know, if you're I mentioned other
11	performance budgeting. If we were
12	MR. PATTON: You're pointing back at
13	'95 when
14	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, it's not really
15	the disparity.
16	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
17	MR. VERARDO: It's if we were making
18	more SPRF
19	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
20	MR. VERARDO: then we were
21	required I needed to know that because we
22	would, with operations, then ask for increased
23	spending authority so
24	MR. PATTON: Um-hum. Well and
25	you kind of indicated early in the interview
	Page 76

1	that one of the challenges was and how did
2	you put this, that when revenues in SPRF
3	exceeded expectations, predictions, one of the
4	dangers was that you were likely it could
5	likely result in a General Fund cut
6	MR. VERARDO: That's correct.
7	MR. PATTON: which happened
8	obviously, you said, back in '92, I think
9	MR. VERARDO: Right. If it were
10	MR. PATTON: before you got to
11	Admin.
12	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. If this is our
13	SPRF allocation
14	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
15	MR. VERARDO: and we have this
16	says additional spending authority
17	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
18	MR. VERARDO: and we make this
19	every year, obviously Finance then is going to
20	make that's our required SPRF and this and
21	reduce the General Fund.
22	MR. PATTON: So they're going to
23	prod you
24	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
25	MR. PATTON: to make a little bit
	Page 77
	lage //

1	more. They're going to move the bar up.
2	MR. VERARDO: That's right. And
3	that's I think that's a fair thing to do
4	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
5	MR. VERARDO: within reason.
6	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
7	MR. VERARDO: It's a fair thing to
8	do within reason. And it was during the
9	performance budget years, the agreement we
10	had, and it was a good deal for us was, okay,
11	if we make this, then we get to give a certain
12	amount of this out to the districts, and this
13	would be our spending authority, so we
14	wouldn't be reduced here, it was an incentive
15	program.
16	MR. PATTON: The performance-based
17	budget pilot ran from when to when?
18	MR. VERARDO: '95 to 2000 roughly.
19	'94 we began, but there was no budget as such.
20	So '95, '96, '97, '98, '99, 2000.
21	MR. PATTON: To 2000. You know,
22	it's interesting. You've actually kind of
23	identified by telling us about that and
24	what you just described is that the SPRF part
25	of the budget was based on a certain level,

1	and in the '90s after budget problems with the
2	State in the early '90s, the legislature
3	want you were put on a performance-based
4	budget pilot to try to increase SPRF revenues,
5	and you were successful.
6	MR. VERARDO: Well, it wasn't a
7	performance budget pilot to try to increase
8	SPRF. There were five departments, six, but
9	one dropped out right away, that were the
10	pilots for the State of California on
11	performance budgeting with whatever that meant
12	for those (indiscernible - simultaneous
13	speaking).
14	MR. PATTON: Yeah, yeah, but as far
15	as Parks. I'm only talking about Parks.
16	Parks was one of the pilots.
17	MR. VERARDO: Parks was one of the
18	pilots, but increasing SPRF was not the goal
19	of the pilot. I didn't want to mislead you
20	MR. PATTON: Really?
21	MR. VERARDO: and say it
22	wasn't the goal of the pilot. It was
23	efficiency
24	MR. PATTON: Okay.
25	MR. VERARDO: and could we
	Page 79
	rage 19

1	reduce
2	MR. PATTON: All right.
3	MR. VERARDO: costs.
4	MR. PATTON: It may not have been
5	the goal, but the result was
6	MR. VERARDO: That was one of the
7	results.
8	MR. PATTON: You just because you
9	just draw in your notes a little chart that
10	showed growth in the SPRF revenue
11	MR. VERARDO: That's right.
12	MR. PATTON: and as the
13	department realized consistent increased
14	revenues in SPRF, the legislature this gets
15	reported, the legislature sees that, and they
16	move then the bar up and they basically start
17	to assume then that, okay, SPRF is going to
18	realize at least this much revenue
19	MR. VERARDO: Right.
20	MR. PATTON: and we're going to
21	prod you to maybe even increase a little bit
22	more, and we're going to and they, in fact,
23	did increase the amount of SPRF appropriation
24	and did a corresponding decrease in General
25	Fund

1	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
2	MR. PATTON: in the mid '90s.
3	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
4	MR. PATTON: Yeah. And it's
5	interesting to note that that's what was going
6	on in the '90s because then by the end of the
7	'90s the end of the disparity in terms of
8	what's not being reported in SPRF revenue
9	starts to grow.
10	MR. VERARDO: Starts to grow, yeah.
11	MR. PATTON: And it remains
12	undisclosed throughout the 2000s. And I won't
13	go down too far
14	MR. VERARDO: No, but
15	MR. PATTON: and tell you what my
16	theory is, but I got a theory.
17	MR. VERARDO: You see, this bothers
18	me as much as what the target years you're
19	talking about.
20	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
21	MR. VERARDO: I mean, (indiscernible
22	- simultaneous speaking).
23	MR. PATTON: You're looking at the
24	growth period.
25	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, why didn't
	Page 81

1	Finance say, since they're looking at
2	Controllers' stuff all the time
3	MR. PATTON: Well, that's a good
4	MR. VERARDO: because we're being
5	audited.
6	MR. PATTON: That's a good question.
7	You know, let me ask you that. That's a very
8	good question which Finance is going to get to
9	answer why because they were issuing memos,
10	they were aware of a disparity, and we'll see
11	what ultimately is explained in terms of that.
12	Just so you know, on September 17,
13	the governor signed AB-1874, and it requires
14	that Finance and the Controller compare their
15	numbers. Just so you know.
16	MR. VERARDO: Good.
17	MR. PATTON: Now yeah. What was
18	I going to ask you? Oh, I'm drawing a blank.
19	So why you say why weren't they looking.
20	Well, they were looking
21	MR. VERARDO: Because we were
22	audited.
23	MR. PATTON: Oh, yeah. That's
24	thank you. You were audited by
25	MR. VERARDO: Department of
	Page 82

1	Finance
2	MR. PATTON: Uh-huh.
3	MR. VERARDO: our internal
4	audits
5	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
6	MR. VERARDO: Bureau of State
7	Audits
8	MR. PATTON: Uh-huh.
9	MR. VERARDO: Legislative
10	Analyst's Office.
11	MR. PATTON: Everybody audited you.
12	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, and there was
13	never I don't remember a time where we
14	didn't make space for an auditor. Now,
15	that's
16	MR. PATTON: Well, what I want to
17	know is when an auditor when they come in
18	and do audits, what are they auditing? Are
19	they auditing your they're looking at your
20	fund condition statements? Are they
21	MR. VERARDO: Some are looking at
22	fund condition statements. Some are looking
23	at internal operations of our accounting
2 4	office, which was done multiple times while I
25	was there. So let me say, by "multiple
	Page 83

1	times", two, but I think it's more than that
2	because there was never not someone auditing
3	Administrative Service the department but
4	Administrative Services part of the
5	department.
6	MR. PATTON: Um-hum. So there were
7	multiple audits?
8	MR. VERARDO: Multiple audits.
9	That's why I'm amazed
10	MR. PATTON: That this was never
11	caught.
12	MR. VERARDO: and a big number,
13	yeah.
14	MR. PATTON: Especially while it was
15	growing.
16	MR. VERARDO: I wouldn't get
17	concerned probably about a six million even if
18	I had known about it
19	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
20	MR. VERARDO: I'd find out what's
21	wrong, but I would get concerned about these
22	numbers.
23	MR. PATTON: Yeah, when it's
24	growing.
25	MR. VERARDO: Yeah, absolutely.
	Page 84

1	MR. PATTON: And growing by a
2	significant percentage. There's a fifty
3	percent bump from
4	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
5	MR. PATTON: '99 to 2000
6	MR. VERARDO: And I'm surprised
7	MR. PATTON: from 13.8 to twenty
8	million.
9	MR. VERARDO: like I said, that
10	Department of Finance didn't come up to us and
11	say, hey, you know, we got a problem here
12	MR. PATTON: Um-hum.
13	MR. VERARDO: and we would have
14	resolved it.
15	MR. PATTON: Um-hum. Well, I think
16	part of the answer, just at least a theory is,
17	based on their memo, is that they do see in
18	'02 and '03, they issue memos, and they say,
19	look, you had a disparity fiscal year ending
20	'01 and '02 of 22.7 and 26.8 million, and they
21	say rectify it because there's there is
22	some work to do then to because my
23	understanding is that the fund condition
24	statement is going to include some things that
25	aren't included in the cash report to the
	Page 85

1	Controller, primarily future anticipated
2	encumbrances that are not yet actual debts,
3	right?
4	MR. VERARDO: Right.
5	MR. PATTON: So there is a certain
6	amount because when just so you know,
7	that memo from '02 and '03, when you take the
8	whole world of special funds and you combine
9	them, in '03, the total disparities totaled
10	1.9 billion.
11	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
12	MR. PATTON: Okay. But most of
13	this you know, almost all of this is
14	explained by differences in accounting
15	methodology and so there's some adjustments
16	that have to be made, from what I understand,
17	to account for primarily encumbrances. That's
18	not right? Jeff is nodding his head yes. So
19	it's a matter then of really pulling apart the
20	fund condition statement and making all those
21	adjustments and then seeing if you're able to
22	true it up to the cash report.
23	MR. VERARDO: Right.
24	MR. PATTON: Yeah.
25	MR. VERARDO: Yeah. I would it's
	Page 86
	rage ou

1	not and so I'm nodding too.
2	MR. PATTON: And from what we can
3	see, all the different agencies, all the
4	control agencies that audited, evidently that
5	just that never happened. They never went
6	to that degree of reconciliation to see if the
7	bottom line cash matched up.
8	MR. VERARDO: Well, if the bottom
9	line is a systemic issue throughout State
10	government, not a Parks and Recreation issue,
11	this is Park and Recreation issue, but that
12	memo didn't go just to Park and Recreation.
13	MR. PATTON: No.
14	MR. VERARDO: There's some
15	MR. PATTON: But we don't know that,
16	at the end of the day, how much of that is not
17	reconcilable.
18	MR. VERARDO: Correct.
19	MR. PATTON: We know that in the
20	SPRF
21	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
22	MR. PATTON: this amount was not
23	reconcilable. Okay. So we're not here to
24	say you know, to conclude and we haven't
25	looked at all the other reconciliations
	D 07
	Page 87

1	MR. VERARDO: Yeah.
2	MR. PATTON: so we're certainly
3	in no position to say that this is systemic as
4	to every special fund listed there. And
5	that's for Finance and LAO and everybody else
6	to examine to make sure that there is no
7	systemic issue.
8	MR. VERARDO: Absolutely.
9	MR. PATTON: Yeah. Okay. And as I
10	indicated, there's an Assembly Bill 1874
11	passed, and it requires exactly that. That's
12	good.
13	MR. VERARDO: I have to say this on
14	the record. Isn't it a shame that it required
15	that?
16	MR. PATTON: Well, you know
17	MR. VERARDO: You don't have to
18	answer that.
19	MR. VERARDO: I'm going to turn off
20	my recorder now.
21	MR. PATTON: All right. I'm going
22	to turn off ours as well, and we're going to
23	conclude the interview at 10:23.
24	(End of audio)
25	

1	
2	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
3	Denzil Verardo interview on 9-24-12
4	
5	
6	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
7) ss.
8	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO)
9	
10	This is to certify that I
11	transcribed the foregoing pages 1 to 88 to the
12	best of my ability from an audio recording
13	submitted by Heidi Webb at the California
14	Department of Justice, in Sacramento,
15	California.
16	I have subscribed this certificate
17	at New York, New York, this 2nd day of
18	October, 2012.
19	
20	
21	
	David Rutt
22	eScribers, Inc.
23	
	000
24	
25	
	Page 89
	rage of

[& - administrative]

&	2000-2001 56:1,23	90 32:7 33:17	accountant 70:1
& 1:10	57:24 58:11 69:20	90s 22:2 62:25 79:1	accounting 12:7
	2000s 81:12	79:2 81:2,6,7	17:24 18:3 19:1
0	2001 22:20 46:1	91 32:2	34:10,19 35:3,10,13
01 46:14 51:17	56:2 68:24	92 13:23 14:5 15:5	37:7,18,20 38:7
62:13,14,15 85:20	2001-2002 58:11	31:13 32:2 77:8	39:5 47:7,7 48:15
02 46:14 50:4 52:1,4	2002 22:20 46:2	93 63:4	48:17,21 49:3,4,15
52:4 60:17,19 62:16	2003 5:1 6:13 46:2	94 12:1 13:21 14:8	55:3 56:21 57:8
62:18 85:18,20 86:7	50:1,7 57:15	14:18 22:12 63:4	58:4,5,21 59:3,10
03 46:15 50:2,8	2004 47:5	78:19	59:13,19 69:23
51:25 60:18,20	2012 1:7,21 2:4	95 8:14,25 12:1 16:3	83:23 86:14
62:18,21 85:18 86:7	89:18	16:6,9 33:17,22	accounts 3:24 12:24
86:9	20th 27:22	45:22 63:4 76:13	25:15 38:22
04 46:16	22 46:17	78:18,20	accurate 32:25 36:3
05 46:17	22,765,000 51:19	96 16:6 18:10 33:17	achieving 42:18
1	22.7 46:14 85:20	33:23 78:20	43:7
1 89:11	23.9 46:17	97 18:10 33:17,23	act 53:15 60:24 61:7
1.9 86:10	24 1:7 2:4	46:6,7 78:20	activities 36:12
100,000 27:23	26,825,000 52:2	98 14:20 33:17,23	activity 36:22
10:23 88:23	26.6 46:16	46:1,10 47:4 78:20	actual 57:25 58:3
13.8 46:13 85:7	26.8 46:14 85:20	99 33:23 46:1,13	71:11,12 73:12 86:2
1300 2:6	2nd 89:17	78:20 85:5	additional 77:16
17 82:12	3	9:03 2:3	address 50:12,13
1874 82:13 88:10	330 53:22	a	adjective 65:22
19.9 46:13	4	a.m. 2:3	adjust 29:25 30:10
1051 105	4		adjusted 45:2,12,18
1971 4:25		aaron	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
1971 4:25 1974 10:21	4 57:15	aaron 75:14 ab 82:13	adjustment 47:22
	4 57:15 5	ab 82:13	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9
1974 10:21	5	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21
1974 10:21 1979 10:23	5 5 50:8	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13	5 5 50:8 6	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4	5 5 50:8 6	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11 33:23 46:1,14 47:6	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15 81 10:24 14:13	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8 academy 10:23	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19 8:15,17 9:2,13,14
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11 33:23 46:1,14 47:6 48:1 52:23 53:15,25	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15 81 10:24 14:13 88 89:11 89 32:6	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8 academy 10:23 account 33:4 38:19	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19 8:15,17 9:2,13,14 15:2,3 61:4 63:11
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11 33:23 46:1,14 47:6 48:1 52:23 53:15,25 55:25 56:18 58:10	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15 81 10:24 14:13 88 89:11 89 32:6	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8 academy 10:23 account 33:4 38:19 38:20 40:19 43:18	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19 8:15,17 9:2,13,14 15:2,3 61:4 63:11 administrative 7:9
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11 33:23 46:1,14 47:6 48:1 52:23 53:15,25 55:25 56:18 58:10 59:6 60:24 61:2	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15 81 10:24 14:13 88 89:11 89 32:6 9 9-24-12 89:3	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8 academy 10:23 account 33:4 38:19 38:20 40:19 43:18 43:24 63:14,19,24	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19 8:15,17 9:2,13,14 15:2,3 61:4 63:11 administrative 7:9 9:5 12:2,22 13:6
1974 10:21 1979 10:23 1990 31:13 1990s 29:12 1992 63:4 1994 7:20 8:12,13 1995 8:13 2 2 1:21 68:24 2.1 53:20 2.3 45:22 2000 8:17 9:11 33:23 46:1,14 47:6 48:1 52:23 53:15,25 55:25 56:18 58:10	5 5 50:8 6 6.3 46:7 7 71 6:12 8 80 10:24 80s 14:15 81 10:24 14:13 88 89:11 89 32:6	ab 82:13 abilities 23:17 ability 54:3 61:13 89:12 able 26:1,5 27:19 29:25 35:6,9,14 47:1 54:9 86:21 absolutely 20:9,21 31:10 49:20 67:6 74:24 75:1,19 84:25 88:8 academy 10:23 account 33:4 38:19 38:20 40:19 43:18 43:24 63:14,19,24 63:24 64:1,2,6,19	adjustment 47:22 adjustments 45:9 86:15,21 admin 8:8,10,16 10:1 16:4,13,21 17:16,21 18:9,20 23:6 42:25 69:17 72:24 77:11 administered 53:21 administering 51:1 51:2,6 administration 5:2 5:6 6:15,16,21 7:19 8:15,17 9:2,13,14 15:2,3 61:4 63:11 administrative 7:9

[administratively - bought]

administratively	15:25 16:3 23:8	author 50:9	basin 7:4,15 30:5,13
8:22 12:18	appreciation 59:9	authority 27:3,6	basis 37:18 45:7
advantage 66:8	appropriate 4:19	39:23 40:1 61:13,21	baustista 11:23
adverb 65:22	appropriation	75:25 76:7,23 77:16	bautista 7:14
advisory 6:1	40:25 80:23	78:13	beach 27:22,24 30:7
agencies 32:14 87:3	appropriations	authorized 45:17	30:23
87:4	32:11	authorizing 61:15	becky 18:11,14,15
agency 3:19 5:17	approved 21:7,8	average 70:7	20:17,25 23:12,22
23:21	26:16,18	award 33:6	40:13 44:9 47:6
ago 5:19 6:10 11:2	approves 20:6	awarded 33:3	48:4 49:8,9,17
agreement 78:9	april 50:4 52:4	aware 3:14 47:6	55:16 57:7 63:12
ahead 2:16 26:23	archaic 60:11	65:17 82:10	68:16
41:3 56:5	area 11:18	b	began 4:24 8:15
alcohol 5:14	areias 14:20 15:15	b 23:1	78:19
allocating 71:23	16:1	back 5:2,6 22:1 33:5	beginning 9:11
allocation 21:20	arrived 18:13	42:10,20 45:5,25	31:23
27:19 28:18,22,25	art 27:10	46:16 49:8 53:7	begins 4:23
29:3,13 40:6 77:13	ascended 6:19	56:21 57:1,12 58:4	believe 31:12 49:17
amazed 84:9	asked 3:15,16 61:22	59:3,22 64:23,24	benefit 67:19
amount 27:6 28:4	74:7 75:15	67:13 72:18 76:9,12	berry 22:24,24
28:11 30:25 31:1,3	asking 27:3	77:8	best 17:20 23:19,23
40:23 42:11 44:17	aspects 20:2	background 4:18,21	28:5 89:12
44:21 45:7 71:1	assembly 88:10	16:14	better 29:5
78:12 80:23 86:6	assignment 7:8	backward 33:8	big 7:4,13,15 11:21
87:22	17:10	bad 28:1 31:16	11:23 17:4 24:11,20
analyst's 33:10	assistant 7:16,23	balance 37:19 38:21	25:9 30:5,13 39:19
83:10	18:20	38:24 43:24,24	47:24 53:25 57:16
anecdotal 67:7	assume 6:21 14:19	44:18,21 45:2,3,6	59:17 67:22 84:12
annual 23:10 38:19 50:19 51:9	20:8 80:17	45:12,18,20 51:8	bigger 8:23 48:1 bill 22:24 88:10
	assuming 52:17	73:13,15,20 75:1	billion 53:15,20,21
annuitant 5:3,7,8,16 67:14	attached 57:2	balances 50:18	86:10
annuitants 5:10	attachment 51:13	64:12	bit 4:10,17 10:18
answer 19:20 57:15	attachment 31.13	bank 37:20	12:6 28:14 42:1
57:21 68:23 70:2	2:5,8 3:15	bar 78:1 80:16	46:16 77:25 80:21
82:9 85:16 88:18	audio 3:12 88:24	based 19:19 21:12	blank 82:18
answers 68:25	89:12	33:19 34:3 35:7,18	body 5:25
anticipated 86:1	audited 33:7,10	35:19 41:15 42:3	bolts 55:8,14,17
anybody 63:16	82:5,22,24 83:11	43:22 58:18 59:11	bond 53:14,17,21
64:17 74:9	87:4	67:7,9 78:16,25	60:23 61:7
anymore 67:12	auditing 83:18,19	79:3 85:17	books 56:14
apart 86:19	84:2	basically 10:3 17:2	bothers 81:17
appoint 18:7	auditor 83:14,17	27:9 29:20 35:12	bottom 87:7,8
			1
6:5 14:20 15:6,15	83:18 84:7,8	04:5 80:16	
appointed 5:24 6:3	audits 33:14 83:4,7	45:14 51:11 58:21 64:5 80:16	bought 26:24

[break - contracts]

break 73:23	bureau 33:13 83:6	certificate 16:17	commission 6:2,3
breaking 26:8	business 17:10 19:2	38:1 89:2,16	26:20
briefly 34:2	bypassing 65:6	certificates 33:3	committee 6:7 53:9
brings 45:12		38:6,6	community 64:4
brought 13:22 14:7	c	certified 7:11 13:3	65:15
14:15 19:11	calendar 5:9	certify 89:10	compare 82:14
brown 18:11,14,15	california 1:8,17 2:7	challenges 77:1	compensation 26:21
23:12	5:3,17,20 79:10	chances 29:6	complain 65:16
bucket 43:4	89:6,13,15	change 15:3	complaints 67:8
budget 12:7 17:24	call 4:1 67:17	changed 5:9	completely 34:9
18:11,14,16 19:1,11	called 11:18 53:8	charge 9:4 12:2 16:4	35:3 57:18
20:3,13,14,24 21:6	calstars 35:5,8,9,14	19:21	complicated 24:3
21:7 23:19,25 24:3	44:19 59:17	chart 80:9	components 24:23
27:19 33:9,19 34:3	campfire 71:21	charts 9:8	43:23
34:7,8,10,14,18,21	campsites 30:13	chat 52:18	composed 12:6
35:7,10,11,12,15,18	capable 23:11	checkbook 37:19	concern 39:13
35:19 37:13 38:24	capacity 31:8	checks 37:21	concerned 71:17
39:8,9 41:15 43:21	capital 53:24 54:3,5 61:7 68:7	cheryl 47:18	75:3 84:17,21
44:6,8 47:20 48:9		chief 5:1,13 6:13	concerns 62:25
50:6,11,14,20 51:8	capture 35:6,15 65:2	8:16,19 9:12,17,24	conclude 87:24
51:10 53:15 55:5,8	card 74:8	17:24 49:3,4	88:23
55:14,17,17 56:17	cared 37:25	chiefs 17:25	condition 39:2,7,12
56:22 57:8,12 58:6	career 63:3	chunk 11:23 17:4	39:19 43:13,21 45:3
58:23 59:22 61:2	careful 3:6	chunks 8:22	45:20 47:13,15
70:8,9,13,21 71:4,7	cars 26:21	clear 15:20 35:22	49:13 51:7 59:10
71:10,11,12,22,24	case 31:20 42:11	cleared 45:15	83:20,22 85:23
72:6,12,20 73:6,14	65:5 69:24	close 27:21 74:16	86:20
73:21 74:17,22 78:9	cash 37:17 85:25	closely 43:10	conduct 53:7
78:17,19,25 79:1,4	86:22 87:7	closing 31:19 63:2	conducted 53:8
79:7	cashed 37:22	closure 31:20	confusion 49:19
budgetary 45:7 61:5	categories 36:11	coast 7:8	conservative 41:25
63:5	caught 84:11	collect 26:13 27:7,8	considerable 31:1
budgeted 21:21	caution 3:7	29:22 30:24	considered 32:25
budgeting 19:19	center 7:10 10:22	collected 28:12	60:13
23:10 25:25 26:17	13:8,9,12 14:11,13	collection 26:5	consistent 29:10
35:21,25 36:2,15	22:7	combine 86:8	41:19 49:14,14 72:1
55:4 56:18 58:18,22	central 6:21 7:8	combined 24:10	80:13
58:24 59:5,11,20	certain 14:24 20:2	combining 14:1	consistently 29:6
71:16 72:14 76:11	27:6 28:3 39:16	come 7:18 14:12	46:21
79:11	41:15 42:10 78:11	18:10 23:3,7 31:16	constantly 38:10
budgets 12:23 19:4	78:25 86:5	83:17 85:10	construction 54:10
72:24	certainly 31:8 52:13	comes 52:5 74:8	continued 59:25
buffer 28:4	88:2	coming 15:11 34:20	60:5
bump 85:3		command 21:15	contracts 54:10,11

[control - dollar]

control 5:18 6:2	cut 31:11 72:6 77:5	deputies 23:3,7	discussed 20:7
23:21 87:4	cycle 16:18	deputy 1:14 2:2,8	49:12 53:12,14
controller 3:22 37:7		5:1,13,22 6:13 7:16	discussions 54:1
37:17 38:10,20 44:2	d	7:21 8:14,16,19,20	disparate 67:23
44:20 45:2,12,18	d 2:15,18	8:24 9:1,12,17,25	disparities 3:20 4:8
, ,	dangers 77:4	l ' ' '	51:12 67:21 74:10
46:8,11 47:12 50:19	data 35:7	10:12 18:20 21:4,15	
67:23 82:14 86:1	david 1:19 89:21	22:1,16 24:6 42:23	86:9
controller's 32:20	davis 15:10,14,25	43:8 69:17	disparity 46:3,7,12
33:4 38:1 45:6,7	32:6 61:3	describe 34:2 38:23	47:2 52:2 68:15
50:16 51:9 57:17	day 67:15,16 87:16	described 34:4	75:10,12,19,21,23
60:9	89:17	65:21 78:24	76:15 81:7 82:10
controllers 82:2	deal 39:19 78:10	designated 9:11	85:19
conversation 53:4,5	dealing 60:10 68:7	65:15	display 34:14,18,21
70:1,5	debts 86:2	designation 51:1	dissatisfaction 72:7
cop 31:22	decision 20:11 42:20	determined 47:19	dissect 48:7
core 54:20	43:5	55:21	distinction 45:13
correct 9:6 12:4,25	decisions 19:14,22	development 17:10	district 7:13,14
14:6,9,17 15:12	20:4,16	difference 59:10	11:19,21,22,22,23
16:5 21:1,17,22	decrease 80:24	differences 50:17,24	26:3,15 30:1 36:21
22:13 25:9 33:20	decreased 29:11	51:9 86:14	37:1 72:14
37:10,14 42:2 55:2	dedicated 25:19	different 6:20 24:4	district's 27:20
55:10 60:8,12 77:6	defense 56:22	32:24 34:9,19 35:3	35:20 36:22
81:1,3 87:18	definition 35:25	44:20 47:12 57:18	districts 11:5,7,8,9
corresponding	degree 87:6	63:15 87:3	11:10,17,20 13:4
50:18 80:24	delegated 69:16	difficult 27:15	14:1 19:18 21:6,9
cost 6:2	denzil 1:5 2:12 89:3	direct 20:16	21:19 26:1,2 29:25
costs 80:3		directly 18:21	30:9,12,21 35:19
country's 53:17	department 1:10	director 5:1,13,22	36:13 42:11 59:1,2
county 89:8	3:21 4:7,14 5:14,18	6:14 7:17,22,23 8:6	78:12
couple 13:24 39:17	8:2 12:8 13:15,17	8:14,17,20,20,24	diverged 55:22
39:20,25 41:11	13:20 17:5 26:17,18	9:1,12,18,25 10:12	diversion 65:7 66:3
45:11 59:18 62:17	27:4 28:17 29:17,21	10:14 11:14 13:7,15	diverted 65:17
65:10	32:17 33:5,9,24	13:16,19,24 14:5,16	division 9:5 64:8
course 38:9 43:10	34:12 35:5,17,20	14:19 15:1,15 17:1	divvy 73:2
covered 42:14	39:11 44:22 50:5	18:20 20:8,22 21:4	doctoral 16:16
crunch 32:3	51:15 53:9,22 54:12	21:4,15 22:1,16	document 56:25
cruz 7:14,15 36:19	57:3 59:1 60:9,13	43:8 52:18 53:4	75:4,6
cultural 34:24	61:15,22 63:13,21	54:15,17 55:6 73:1	documents 44:21
curious 12:21 38:17	63:25 66:11 67:12	director's 19:13	57:8
48:2 49:11 55:20	76:1,8 80:13 82:25	discrepancies 3:25	doing 5:21 8:1 32:18
current 34:9 60:5	84:3,5 85:10 89:14	61:9	41:5 57:23 71:22
73:12	department's 38:7	discrepancy 51:16	doj 2:7
currently 5:23,24	47:14	57:4,9 59:25 61:10	dollar 30:15,16
cushion 42:1	departments 23:22	discuss 73:1	31:14 53:20,23 68:8
Cusinon 72.1	50:14,22 79:8	uiscuss /J.1	31.17 33.20,23 00.0

[dollars - flag]

dollars 16:25 21:12	eliminated 11:13	exempt 9:18 10:12	69:19
27:20,24 30:5,6	eliminating 11:11	expectations 28:14	figured 66:8,11
31:13 39:18 53:16	eliminating 11.11 elimination 13:25	43:7 77:3	figuring 34:5
65:3,8 71:18 72:10	employment 4:22	expected 40:13 42:3	files 74:16,23
domich 18:17 54:24	enabled 36:20	_	finance 3:21 4:7
		expecting 29:22	
55:13 68:16 72:23	encapsulate 34:2	expenditure 35:7	19:6,9,12 20:17
donald 13:8,14 14:4	encumbrances 45:8	expenditures 36:6	23:20 26:18,18 27:4
14:21 22:6 52:20	45:14 86:2,17	37:21 72:21	28:17 29:21 32:17
dorothy 47:17,18	ended 13:3	experience 17:12	32:23 33:6,10 34:12
48:5,13	enforcement 7:12	explain 10:17 59:25	35:5,17 37:13 39:1
doubled 32:7	34:25 72:11	60:1,2 63:18 72:16	39:11 43:14,22
draw 80:9	enters 65:3	explainable 76:2,5	44:22 45:4 46:8,11
drawing 82:18	entire 35:20 70:13	explained 82:11	46:12 48:8 50:5,12
dropped 46:15 79:9	70:20	86:14	51:1 57:4 60:9
drops 45:22 46:16	environmental 5:17	explanation 37:25	61:15,23 63:14,21
drug 5:14	equal 71:1	57:14	66:11,20,21 67:23
dual 9:9	erroneous 47:21	explanations 48:7	76:1,8 77:19 82:1,8
dumb 31:5	error 16:18 47:19	extra 26:10 27:20	82:14 83:1 85:10
dun 18:2	47:21 48:9 56:24	eye 18:25	88:5
duty 7:4	75:24 76:4,7	f	finance's 32:25
dynamic 18:8	escribers 1:20 89:22	fact 29:10 31:11	60:13
e	especially 42:7	32:15,22 35:4 37:8	finances 53:12
e 2:15,18 23:1	84:14	74:12 80:22	financial 1:11 18:21
early 5:1 6:13 14:15	essentially 37:18	fair 16:25 78:3,7	19:21 20:3 43:19
16:6 22:20 29:11	estimated 72:20	fairly 24:3 36:3	55:1
31:24 50:1,2 54:23	estimating 73:5	46:18,21	find 31:16 84:20
62:21,25 76:25 79:2	estimator 73:10	familiar 43:13,15	fine 11:15 70:24
· ·	event 43:6	fantastic 67:14	fire 20:19
earn 25:25	everybody 13:10		first 2:17 7:18 18:5
earned 65:4	83:11 88:5	far 4:12 28:6 72:20	31:11,14,14 49:20
easily 27:25 35:9	everybody's 20:23	79:14 81:13	69:8
efficiencies 36:25	evidence 67:3,6	favor 5:5	fiscal 5:9 20:4 27:9
efficiency 8:3 13:13	evidently 87:4	fee 30:15,16 34:5	32:3 42:13 46:6
16:18 33:7 79:23	exactly 47:1 48:8	feel 54:2	57:10,11 58:12
efficiently 17:6	88:11	fees 25:16 26:1	59:18,21 60:1 61:25
effort 11:12	examine 88:6	29:19 30:1,2,4,10	85:19
efforts 8:2	examining 34:4	30:17,20	fiscally 71:17
eight 14:23 23:14	exceed 27:18 28:14	fell 40:17	fit 16:22
30:16 36:20	28:15	felt 17:15 41:8 64:13	five 21:20,23 26:3,6
eighteen 24:7	exceeded 26:4,7,9	field 16:24 22:9 23:2	26:14 30:14 40:11
eighty 21:20,23 72:8	40:17 77:3	23:4,7 30:22	45:25 71:4 72:9
72:9	exceeding 29:3	fifty 11:9,16 26:10	79:8
either 70:5	excess 26:6 28:22	26:15 40:10 85:2	fixed 30:4
election 14:23	executive 20:20 53:8	figure 47:22 56:7	flag 40:14 60:14
	CACCUMYC 20.20 33.0	57:24 59:19 69:10	1145 TU.17 UU.17

[flexibility - hit]

flexibility 29:24	funds 37:20 38:21	64:13 68:4 69:23	h
30:10	50:15 51:1,14 60:23	72:7 73:22,22 75:16	half 5:4 6:10 27:11
focus 53:25 54:11	65:25 66:19 86:8	76:4 77:19,22 78:1	handcuffs 67:17
follow 14:4 69:24	future 86:1	80:17,20,22 81:5	handle 18:22 20:25
following 20:4 27:4	g	82:8,18 85:24 88:19	
32:7 36:11,12		88:21,22	handled 18:21 26:8 44:6
foot 30:19	galley 34:13	golden 67:17	
foregoing 89:11	gasoline 25:15	good 6:9 12:10	happen 74:11
forget 13:20 26:7	gathering 55:7	15:20,24 28:18,19	happened 77:7 87:5
fortunately 18:6	general 1:14,16 2:3	32:16 35:9 41:5	happening 71:18
forward 33:8	2:8 3:16 25:12,20	61:1,3,4,5,25 62:1,8	hard 3:23 11:1
found 64:25	28:18,19,24 29:7,11	64:2 78:10 82:3,6,8	49:17
four 5:15 7:10 11:9	29:13 31:2,15 32:11	82:16 88:12	harder 62:2,5
11:16 45:21	64:2 71:2,5 77:5,21	gorgeous 27:18	head 12:10 16:13
frame 4:19	80:24	government 2:5	38:12 58:1 86:18
francisco 7:6	general's 2:6	5:25 6:2 28:19,20	headed 10:22
frankly 32:16	generate 36:5	87:10	headquarters 7:9
freda 18:2,10 35:4	generating 36:4	governor 15:9,14	11:24 12:1,23 54:1
44:9 47:8,17 49:9	getting 13:3 16:24	31:13 82:13	heads 53:9
55:16 56:20 57:7	19:6 49:13 62:11,19	governor's 3:19	hear 2:22 25:21 54:8
58:4 59:14	62:24 71:20	10:13 21:7 34:8,14	69:3
freda's 49:3	give 2:10 6:24 21:5	50:19 51:7,10 63:20	heard 21:18 24:22
free 34:13	43:3 78:11	grants 64:8	38:5,14 39:1 49:16
fujiwara 50:9	given 30:9 33:5	graph 71:23 72:5	66:23
full 5:7,11,11 30:14	42:17	great 2:19 13:9	hearing 20:19 54:22
43:4	gives 3:5 20:10	16:22 17:7	heart 30:16
fund 4:1,5,5 25:10	42:25	green 25:16	heck 17:17 75:15
25:12,20 28:25 29:7	giving 61:20	grew 24:15	heidi 89:13
29:11,13 31:2,15	go 2:16 4:9 13:10	group 44:10 50:13	held 42:10
32:11 34:20 36:4	19:6 20:12 23:10	50:13	help 47:11
38:19 39:2,7,11,18	26:23 27:25 28:4,5	grow 81:9,10	hey 15:21 36:24
43:13,21 45:3,20	28:9,9 29:1 36:24	growing 84:15,24	68:8 85:11
47:13,14 49:13	41:17 44:15 56:5	85:1	high 30:17,20
50:18 51:3,7,8,16	59:4 63:12 67:13	grows 46:13	highway 4:5 25:14
63:8,11,23 64:16	81:13 87:12	growth 46:2 47:2	51:15 52:3 63:8,10
65:3,6,16 67:24	goal 71:10 79:18,22	80:10 81:24	63:23 64:1,4,7,8,16
71:2,5 72:11 73:13	80:5	guess 9:10 14:13	65:14 67:24
73:14,19 75:1 77:5	goes 43:14 46:2	18:9 22:20 38:23	hinting 74:10
77:21 80:25 83:20	going 4:9,11,13,13	44:15 50:7 55:20	hire 5:21
83:22 85:23 86:20	15:3 19:8,24 27:7	57:10 59:8 70:23	historic 8:21 12:19
88:4	27:16,18 29:7 30:14	guessing 22:19 27:2	history 4:22 53:18
funded 70:8,10	34:20 35:1 36:1,4	27:10 33:17 56:23	hit 29:13 31:15
funding 3:20 21:6,8	37:13,17 41:4,10,16	57:20 59:20 66:10	40:23 41:12,18
24:7,14 25:18	43:2 44:13,23 49:19	70:17	57:15 62:2 65:8,25
24.1,14 23.10	57:1,19,20 58:14,17	/0.1/	

[hits - line]

	T		
hits 66:19	increased 29:14,19	87:11 88:7	57:9 58:6,20 59:17
hold 42:20	30:25 76:22 80:13	issued 50:5,6 51:25	63:1,11,15 64:11
hole 27:9	increasing 79:18	issuing 82:9	66:4,15 68:6 69:18
huge 27:12 29:12	indicated 76:25	it'll 3:6	76:10,21 78:21 82:7
huh 83:2,8	88:10	item 34:10,20 36:7	82:12,15 83:17
hum 10:9,15,25	indicating 66:7	37:4	85:11 86:6,13 87:15
16:20 17:18 18:4,18	indication 38:11	items 37:13	87:19,24 88:16
19:15 20:1 21:10	indiscernible 28:7	i	knowing 48:8
22:21 25:11,13 27:1	42:20 54:15 62:6	jeff 74:1 86:18	knowledge 52:16
27:14 29:8,15,23	63:9,17 79:12 81:21	jeffrey 2:8	57:25 58:3,3
34:16 42:15 46:20	individually 30:11	, ,	known 10:20 61:10
47:3 51:23 52:6	inefficient 16:23	job 8:23 15:24 32:16 32:25 41:5 59:17	68:9 84:18
53:13,19 54:6 56:19	information 19:12		kroll 47:17
57:5 68:10 74:19	35:16,17 74:18	60:13,16	ı
76:19,24 77:17 84:6	informed 46:6	jones 22:3,4,5,12	_
84:19 85:12,15	inherited 17:17	42:24 54:18	1 2:18
huntington 27:22	instance 30:12,24	juan 7:14 11:22	lack 13:13
30:6	36:16	july 5:19	lao 66:10,18 88:5
hurting 63:5	institutions 23:21	june 27:12,22 28:2	largest 53:17
i	interesting 52:15	39:17 50:8	late 8:14 16:9 22:20
	78:22 81:5	justice 89:14	law 2:5 7:11 32:6
idea 40:18 49:21	internal 83:3,23	k	lean 32:2 62:19,24
70:25 75:20	internally 64:14	keep 15:20 18:25	learned 4:1,12
ideal 36:14	,	26:6,10,14 28:3	leave 19:6 49:22
identical 50:7	interplays 38:24	_	left 5:15 19:10 22:15
identical 50:7 identified 49:7	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24	26:6,10,14 28:3	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24 included 11:21	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25 involvement 72:19	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4 48:23 72:10 75:15 know 14:24 19:10	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25 leveled 46:18
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24 included 11:21 85:25	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25 involvement 72:19 73:5	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4 48:23 72:10 75:15 know 14:24 19:10 19:23,25 25:6 30:2	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25 leveled 46:18 liaison 20:16
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24 included 11:21 85:25 income 35:6	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25 involvement 72:19 73:5 irregularities 1:11	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4 48:23 72:10 75:15 know 14:24 19:10 19:23,25 25:6 30:2 30:13,15 31:15 38:9	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25 leveled 46:18 liaison 20:16 liking 72:3
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24 included 11:21 85:25 income 35:6 increase 29:18 31:8	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25 involvement 72:19 73:5 irregularities 1:11 ish 18:10 31:13	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4 48:23 72:10 75:15 know 14:24 19:10 19:23,25 25:6 30:2 30:13,15 31:15 38:9 40:13,13,14 41:11	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25 leveled 46:18 liaison 20:16 liking 72:3 line 34:9,20 36:7
identical 50:7 identified 49:7 51:11,14,16 60:7 69:9 78:23 identify 47:1 implementation 16:17 important 17:20 54:9 impossible 64:14 improvement 8:2 improvements 53:24 incentive 26:2,12 78:14 include 85:24 included 11:21 85:25 income 35:6	interplays 38:24 interpretation 71:20 71:24 interpretive 72:4 interrupt 12:15 interrupted 16:11 interview 1:1 3:11 76:25 88:23 89:3 interviewed 1:13 interviewed 1:13 interviews 5:21 intricacies 23:25 investigate 3:16 36:24 investigation 1:10 investigator 2:9 involved 72:17,25 involvement 72:19 73:5 irregularities 1:11	26:6,10,14 28:3 35:11 71:25 ken 22:3,4,5,7,12,18 22:19 42:24 54:18 kept 74:17 key 21:3 kilzer's 47:18 kind 4:22 9:9 11:23 16:19 31:22 32:21 35:24 40:7 41:22 76:25 78:22 kinds 32:13 knew 11:3 15:3 22:9 23:24 30:21,23 31:23,24 32:17 39:4 48:23 72:10 75:15 know 14:24 19:10 19:23,25 25:6 30:2 30:13,15 31:15 38:9	left 5:15 19:10 22:15 33:24 39:4 49:24 50:8 51:25 55:9 67:13,13,15 leg 10:11 legal 45:7 legislative 33:10 83:9 legislature 34:12 64:18,25 65:5 66:16 79:2 80:14,15 legislatures 66:8 lend 35:8 level 19:13 30:1 34:8 34:23 39:13 48:7 71:25 72:8 78:25 leveled 46:18 liaison 20:16 liking 72:3

[line - obviously]

87:7,9	management 7:17	millions 28:1	needed 5:21 16:25
lines 65:1	7:24 34:23,24,25	mind 31:18 39:12	20:3 71:14 76:21
lion's 21:19	36:18	minor 25:5	needs 17:5 63:21
list 31:20	manager 17:11	minus 41:6 45:8	never 28:4 31:21
listed 88:4	50:12	minute 4:9 19:17	32:20 38:14 39:12
little 3:14 4:10,17		mislead 79:19	43:20 48:23 49:16
10:17 11:1 12:6	managers 11:18 mandatorily 29:14	mistake 57:16	52:17 53:3 57:19
	29:19	model 26:21	
42:1 46:16 71:2			64:12 73:19 83:13
77:25 80:9,21	mary 9:19,20,21	modified 35:14	84:2,10 87:5,5
loan 64:20,22 65:18 66:14	10:3,16,20,22,23	moment 72:2	new 1:22,22 8:22
	11:2 22:5	monday 2:4	18:8 89:17,17
loans 64:18	match 57:19	money 12:22 34:22	newspaper 32:18
lobbied 64:5 65:15	matched 87:7	36:17 37:2 41:12	newspapers 3:17
long 6:23 11:2 39:15	matter 30:7 86:19	42:16 61:6,14 63:21	nice 27:17
49:6 57:6 72:7	mattson 2:9 74:5	64:4	nine 46:15
74:25	maximize 34:6	monies 21:21 35:1	nineteen 46:18
longer 54:7 67:18	mean 30:22 40:4	64:6 65:17	nodding 12:9 38:12
look 4:10 25:17 34:8	43:18 57:15 61:4,5	monitor 27:21 60:15	58:1 86:18 87:1
37:3,4,6,12 44:14	76:1 81:21	monitored 64:12	non 31:18
85:19	meaningless 32:22	monterey 7:13	nonpaid 6:3
looked 32:21 42:12	means 57:1 63:20	11:21,22	normal 10:11 40:5,7
42:17 48:5 55:6	meant 54:8 79:11	months 5:11,12	41:4,17
73:19 74:16,23	measurement 16:19	14:22,23 15:17	north 7:6
87:25	measures 16:18	27:13	northern 36:16
looking 3:23,23 4:16	meeting 13:10 53:10	motor 25:15 64:16	note 81:5
32:10,19 35:24	meetings 53:9	mount 7:5	notes 80:9
38:22 39:17 47:25	member 5:25 67:17	mountains 7:15	number 11:7,8
48:4 81:23 82:1,19	memo 50:6,7 51:24	36:19	24:21 32:16 44:1
82:20 83:19,21,22	52:5,8 85:17 86:7	move 31:5 78:1	45:19 46:25 47:24
looks 20:8	87:12	80:16	60:8,11 71:21 84:12
loophole 66:5,6	memos 82:9 85:18		numbers 4:17 38:8
lot 57:2 61:6	mentioned 37:6	multiple 11:18	38:11 44:16 47:11
lots 38:6	52:3 55:23 76:10	83:24,25 84:7,8	47:21 52:14 55:22
loved 67:15	met 14:10,14	murphy 13:8,14	67:22 68:14 74:10
lower 26:1 29:7	methodology 86:15	14:4 15:18 16:3,3	75:8 82:15 84:22
luan 18:2	michael 2:9	17:1 22:6 52:19,21	nuts 55:8,13,16
m	mid 42:12 81:2	n	0
m 1:13 2:2,9	midline 41:18	n 2:9,18	o 2:9,15
main 45:13	mike 74:3	name 2:11,11,14,17	o0o 1:25 89:23
major 33:6	million 31:12,14	napa 7:7	obvious 28:16
making 17:3 30:17	39:18,21,25 45:22	natural 3:18 34:23	obviously 6:19
40:9 48:9 76:17	45:22 46:7,10,14,19	36:18	10:13 11:17 23:13
86:20	46:22 48:1 53:23	need 51:12 56:14	52:17 63:13 74:18
	68:8,15 84:17 85:8	61:24	75:3 77:8,19
	85:20		,

[occasionally - people]

occasionally 5:20	old 11:21	43:8 51:2,14 53:22	42:15,19,25 43:5,12
occur 75:2	once 20:22 21:6,7	61:6 63:3 65:10	43:17 44:1,7,12,15
occurred 63:4 66:23	72:23	70:7,13 72:3 79:15	44:25 45:17,25 46:5
october 1:21 89:18	ones 11:18 25:5	79:15,16,17 87:10	46:10,21 47:4,10,25
office 1:15 2:6 3:19	operate 65:10	part 3:7 8:8,9 11:12	48:12,14,17,20,23
8:20 10:13 12:7,8	operation 43:8	31:5 42:24 50:2	48:25 49:2,6,11,24
12:19 17:9 19:13	operation's 72:24	71:9,13 73:13 78:24	50:2,4,11,22 51:5
33:4,11 38:2 39:8	operationally 61:7	84:4 85:16	51:19,21,24 52:7,12
39:10 50:16 57:18	operations 10:5	particular 17:24	52:15,22,25 53:2,6
60:10 63:20 83:10	19:1 21:5,16,19	23:12 48:5	53:13,19 54:6,13,19
83:24	22:1,9 23:2,3 26:9	particularly 8:3	54:22 55:3,11,19,25
officer 7:10 16:13	30:22 42:8,23,24	74:9	56:2,5,9,12,15,19
17:12 18:3,11,14,16	43:1,9 72:23 73:10	passed 15:24 53:18	57:5,22 58:7,13,17
55:18 67:18	76:22 83:23	88:11	59:4,7,15,23 60:4
officers 23:19 50:6	opinion 23:17 55:12	pats 33:5	60:17,21 61:16,19
50:14	ops 22:16	patton 1:13 2:1,2,13	62:4,7,10,13,17,22
offices 2:5	option 31:18	2:16,19,24 3:3,5 4:4	63:7 64:17 65:7,11
oh 18:1 20:11 23:18	order 3:10	4:21 5:23 6:4,8,11	65:14,20,24 66:3,6
26:22 28:13 29:1	organization 9:8	6:16,18,25 7:2,18	66:13,15,18,22 67:1
39:9 48:16 49:5	12:14 51:3,6	7:21,25 8:4,7,18,25	67:3,7,10,20 68:1,3
54:17 55:15 67:1	organizations 51:2	9:4,7,14,16,19,22,24	68:10,13,21,24 69:4
75:17 82:18,23	originally 3:18	10:2,5,9,15,19,25	69:7,11,12,14,20,22
ohv 4:5 64:19,21	outlay 54:3,5 61:8	11:5,25 12:5,13,20	70:4,12 73:8 74:1,3
okay 2:1,19 3:3 4:4	68:7	13:1,14,18 14:3,7	74:6,14,19 75:5,9
4:20 6:4,11,18 7:21	outside 6:21	14:10,14,18,25 15:5	75:13,17 76:6,12,16
7:25 8:7,11,18	overlap 22:7	15:8,10,13,16,19,23	76:19,24 77:7,10,14
13:18 14:14,25 15:8	overspend 41:22	16:8,10,20,21 17:18	77:17,22,25 78:4,6
16:2 18:24 19:4	р	17:23 18:4,13,18,24	78:16,21 79:14,20
20:12,18 21:2 22:4	pages 89:11	19:4,15 20:1,5,10	79:24 80:2,4,8,12
22:25 23:5,9 24:8	paid 30:5,6 64:23,24	20:18,22 21:2,10,14	80:20 81:2,4,11,15
24:17 25:7 28:13	paper 59:22 63:2	21:18,25 22:4,11,14	81:20,23 82:3,6,17
31:4 37:5 39:14,17	65:2	22:21,25 23:5,9,16	82:23 83:2,5,8,11
39:24 40:3 42:6	paperwork 32:24	23:24 24:2,8,12,14	83:16 84:6,10,14,19
43:12 44:1,24 46:4	57:2,19	24:17,21 25:1,4,7	84:23 85:1,5,7,12
46:9 47:9,23 48:19	parameters 39:16	25:11,13,23 26:20	85:15 86:5,12,24
48:22,25 49:5,10	parcel 21:8,12	26:23 27:1,14 28:9	87:2,13,15,19,22
50:10,21 51:20	park 4:24 6:12 7:4,6	28:13,21 29:1,4,8	88:2,9,16,21
52:12 55:19 59:7,23	16:12 53:17,21	29:15,18,23 31:4,7	payments 45:15
62:13 65:23 66:13	87:11,12	32:1,5,9,13 33:12	payroll 45:9
67:10 68:24 69:4,10	parking 30:5,6	33:15,18,21 34:1,16	peace 16:13 67:18
69:22 70:6,19,22,24	parks 1:10 3:25	35:23 37:5,11,15	peak 62:7
71:6 72:5,18 73:11	4:14,25 7:7 10:22	38:3,5,14,17 39:6	peers 47:7
73:24 74:6 78:10	13:13 17:21 23:23	39:14,20,24 40:3,8	people 19:8 22:9
79:24 80:17 86:12	25:10 30:11 31:19	40:16,22,25 41:3,9	23:12 27:23 30:22
87:23 88:9		41:13,20 42:3,6,9	35:11 43:22 46:25

[people - reduce]

55:9	pop 27:24	54:4 78:15	reading 65:1
percent 21:21,23	position 8:5 10:12	programmatic 37:2	ready 19:6 20:12
26:6,10,15,15 36:17	11:14 14:22 15:4	programs 5:15	realistic 73:2
36:20 40:6,10,11,11	49:3 88:3	17:14 35:2 72:4	realize 80:18
40:12,18 41:6 70:8	positions 6:20	properly 33:2	realized 80:13
70:9,18 71:4 72:9,9	possible 16:15 27:21	proposed 20:14,24	really 17:5 26:7,9
85:3	post 7:11 13:3,3	protection 5:17	34:4,10 37:25 47:1
percentage 85:2	16:16	provided 4:6	53:25 55:20 56:7,24
perez 22:17	pot 70:15	public 71:19,25 72:3	57:9 62:7 63:5
performance 5:4	potential 63:2	pulled 33:23 58:10	76:14 79:20 86:19
19:19 25:25 26:17	predict 27:16 40:19	pulling 86:19	reason 3:6 56:22
33:9,18 34:3,7,18	predicting 41:1	put 4:18 12:2 49:1	75:20,22,22 76:7
34:21 35:7,15,18,21	prediction 30:17	72:10 74:13 77:2	78:5,8
35:25 36:2,15 56:17	predictions 36:3	79:3	reasonable 40:10
56:18 57:12 58:18	77:3	puts 16:4	recall 41:11 67:25
58:22,24 59:5,11,20	prepare 72:5	q	68:12 71:8
71:7,9,16 72:12,14	prepared 39:8	qualified 13:5	received 38:7
74:17,22 76:11 78:9	prepares 51:6	quality 7:17,24	recollection 74:9
78:16 79:3,7,11	preparing 72:18	16:17	recommendations
period 4:23 19:24	preservation 8:21	question 6:9 16:10	17:4
46:2 47:2 81:24	12:19	19:20 48:11 73:17	reconcilable 87:17
person 18:7 21:3	pretty 29:10 30:21	73:19 82:6,8	87:23
23:2	41:5 44:5,9 60:11	questions 69:24	reconcile 51:8 56:14
personally 48:5	previous 18:6	73:25 74:4,7	reconciled 51:12
personnel 12:17	primarily 10:7	quickly 7:1	72:25
21:24	38:22 86:1,17	quite 6:19,22	reconciliation 87:6
phone 3:15	prior 47:22 50:18	r	reconciliations
picked 65:21	priorities 21:13		87:25
pieces 12:16 35:16	54:12	r 2:15,15 23:1,1	reconciling 33:1
pilot 33:9,19 34:11	probably 3:7 13:23	rains 27:22	38:10 50:23
35:18,19 59:1,2	18:8 50:1 61:23	raise 26:1 30:23	reconstruct 57:7
78:17 79:4,7,19,22	70:4 84:17	31:24 60:14	record 2:2,11 88:14
pilots 79:10,16,18	problem 49:7,12	raised 64:15	recorded 1:1
pipeline 37:21	55:21 56:7 60:15	raising 30:19 64:3	recorder 88:20
place 13:10,25 36:13	68:8 85:11	ramp 54:10	recording 3:10
plan 31:17 41:23,24	problems 79:1	ran 11:6 13:4 34:10	89:12
planning 12:17	process 20:20 23:11	78:17	recordings 3:12
plus 41:6 68:15	71:7 73:14	range 46:19 72:9	recreation 1:11 3:25
point 15:11 22:14	procurement 12:8	ranger 4:25 6:12 7:5	4:15 25:10 34:25
26:8 44:3,16 57:14	prod 77:23 80:21	7:5,12 13:2 16:12	53:22 87:10,11,12
60:7,25 61:11	prognosticated	rarely 53:11	rectify 85:21
pointing 76:12	40:23	rate 16:18 72:1	red 40:14 60:14
politically 61:5	program 19:18 26:2	rates 30:18	redirecting 66:19
politics 10:8	26:12,16 33:19,25	read 63:2	reduce 28:21,24
	34:22 36:7 50:11		32:11 71:24 77:21

[reduce - short]

80:1	request 29:16 47:18	right 4:3 5:25 8:11	says 50:15 77:16
reduced 24:10 31:2	require 3:7	9:19 16:8 21:25	scale 17:15
54:4 78:14	required 26:4 76:21	24:1 25:1,4 29:4	school 32:6
redwood 7:4	77:20 88:14	32:12 39:8 40:21,23	schwarzenegger 5:5
redwoods 36:16,19	requires 82:13	40:24 41:2,12 43:3	season 30:7
referred 39:1	88:11	44:7 45:16,19,23,24	seasonally 30:10
reflected 44:17,21	reserve 43:6 45:8,13	46:22 47:5 51:4	section 12:18 45:1
47:13	resigned 14:21	52:20,25 53:2 56:15	sections 12:9
regarding 67:24	15:17	61:16,19 62:12	sector 7:15
regards 8:3	resolved 85:14	65:21 66:22 67:10	see 21:4 32:19 34:14
region 7:9 11:11,13	resource 34:23,24	68:1 69:11,14,22	45:5 57:8 71:10
region's 17:13	34:24 36:18	73:11,24 77:9 78:2	75:13 81:17 82:10
regional 17:9,11	resources 3:18	79:9 80:2,11,19	85:17 87:3,6
regions 14:1	respected 23:20	86:3,4,18,23 88:21	seeing 36:8 52:10
regular 35:19 56:21	responsibilities	robertson 75:15	60:3 68:14 86:21
58:6,23 59:3,22	54:25	rosa 17:9	seen 9:8 38:8,11
relationship 25:16	responsibility 18:25	roughly 55:24 78:18	75:4,5,7,9,11
25:19	69:17	routinely 44:6	sees 80:15
relevant 73:17	responsible 50:23	row 61:1 62:1	seizure 65:25
relies 35:25 36:2	rest 12:16 18:23	rudimentary 37:16	senate 6:1,6
remains 81:11	result 77:5 80:5	43:19	sense 28:10 74:11
remember 11:2	results 71:17 80:7	rules 6:6	sent 35:16
17:23 32:1,5 48:24	retain 43:6	rumor 66:25 67:1	separate 8:10
52:10,11 54:2 56:17	retired 4:25 5:3,7,7	run 17:5	september 1:7 2:4
62:23 63:7 68:5	5:10,16 6:13 9:23	running 17:13	82:12
70:15 74:25 83:13	18:7 22:18,19 62:21	rusty 14:20 15:15	serve 5:24
reorganization 8:23	67:14	16:1 53:1,7	served 71:20
11:11 13:25	revealed 50:17	rutt 1:19 89:21	service 4:19 71:25 84:3
repeat 2:22 report 39:12 50:19	revenue 27:12 28:12 28:23 30:25 31:25	S	services 8:8,10,16
51:10 56:3 85:25	34:5 36:4 39:25	s 2:9	9:5 12:3,22 13:6
86:22	40:20 41:16 42:4	sa201210710 1:24	16:4,13,21 17:16
report's 44:13	61:1 64:15 72:13,14	sacramento 1:8 2:6	19:3 42:25 84:4
reported 3:17,18,24	73:5 80:10,18 81:8	7:16,19 11:4 13:22	set 32:24 57:18
8:4,21 18:16,20	revenues 28:14 31:9	14:8 18:14 89:8,14	seven 58:25
32:23 44:2,19 45:3	40:16 43:7 63:25	san 7:6,14 11:22	severely 29:11
45:8,20 46:8,11	65:4 77:2 79:4	santa 7:14,14 17:9	shame 88:14
49:8,9 57:17 60:8	80:14	36:18	share 16:25 21:20
61:9 80:15 81:8	review 5:4 19:7,8	satisfaction 72:8	shoot 30:19
reporting 10:13	20:20 50:16	satisfied 20:23	shoots 53:16
19:12 32:23 56:10	rich 2:8 69:23 70:6	saw 34:15 75:2	shop 44:6 47:20
56:24 58:22,23	70:11,16,19,22,24	saying 16:5,22 38:21 56:3 57:4	48:9 56:21
63:13 67:23 75:24	71:6,13 72:17 73:4	72:3	shops 34:11
reports 3:20,21 37:7	73:11,24 74:2	14.3	short 4:1 40:17
37:12,17,18 48:3			42:13

[shortage - taxes]

shortage 60:23	81:22	started 6:11 7:3	supervise 54:25
show 4:8 44:23	special 50:15,25	22:2 24:5,12,13,19	supervising 7:3,5
71:23	51:14 86:8 88:4	48:4 62:10,19 67:16	supervisor 48:18
showed 19:22 35:1	specific 36:12	starting 14:5 44:3	sur 7:13 11:22
39:12 75:8 80:10	specifically 35:2	44:20	sure 3:8 7:2 10:19
showing 37:19	37:3 47:17	starts 45:6 81:9,10	25:23 33:1 40:8
56:25 57:3 60:10	spell 2:11,13,16	state 1:17 3:25 4:22	41:21,24 61:12,21
72:5	spend 21:11 54:3	4:25 5:20 7:4,6,7	62:16 69:13 74:2,14
shown 20:7 36:7	64:15	10:22 13:13 17:21	88:6
71:8 73:21 76:3	spending 27:3,5	25:10 28:19,20 30:4	surplus 64:14
shows 34:21 36:9,10	34:22 36:17,19,21	32:2,10 33:13 50:16	surprise 28:7 68:13
51:13	36:22 37:1 39:22,25	53:17,21 79:2,10	surprised 68:19,20
side 7:12 9:25 12:3	41:23,24 61:13,17	83:6 87:9 89:6	69:1,2,3,6 85:6
12:23 13:6 47:20	61:20 75:25 76:7,23	state's 23:19 31:5	surprises 32:18
48:9 55:1	77:16 78:13	statement 38:19,20	suspect 69:18
signed 82:13	spent 36:8,9,10	39:2,7 43:13,21	swings 67:22
significant 85:2	61:14 64:6,9 73:16	45:4,21 47:14,15	synopsis 6:24
similar 51:24	spot 22:15	49:14,15 51:7 85:24	system 58:4,5,6,23
simultaneous 28:8	spreadsheet 44:23	86:20	59:3,22 60:11 64:3
42:21 54:16 62:6	51:22	statements 83:20,22	systemic 87:9 88:3,7
63:9 79:12 81:22	spreadsheets 4:7	stayed 72:8	systems 58:21
single 58:5 60:1	sprf 4:2 25:10,20,21	staying 67:18	t
sir 2:10 74:5	25:24 26:5,6,11	sticker 25:16	t 2:9,9
six 5:11,12 14:22	27:5,10,19 28:4,5	story 54:8	take 4:10 13:5 16:2
15:17 24:4,6,22,25	28:17,21 29:3,6,13	street 2:6	17:4 28:17,22 36:1
26:3 46:1,17,22	30:25 33:4 36:9,10	strictly 64:7	57:7 65:5 66:8
79:8 84:17	36:11 38:23 39:18	stuff 18:22 61:2	69:16 72:10 86:7
sixteen 24:7,19,19	44:19 45:1 49:14	74:21 75:3 82:2	taken 31:15
24:23	51:3,16 52:2 61:11	submit 20:24 39:10	talk 4:13 67:11
sixty 36:20 71:4	63:15,19,22 68:14	submittal 20:6	68:16
smaller 17:14 sold 26:21	70:8,21 71:5 73:13	submittals 19:5 submitted 3:20,22	talked 3:14 55:5
soon 62:13,15	76:18 77:2,13,20 78:24 79:4,8,18	38:25 89:13	67:20 69:12
sort 34:1 43:15	80:10,14,17,23 81:8	submitting 20:13	talking 9:10 13:12
49:20	87:20	subscribed 89:16	34:4 46:24 54:14
sound 25:9	ss 89:7	substances 5:18	70:12 71:3 79:15
source 34:20	stab 36:1	successful 79:5	81:19
sources 24:7,15	stability 41:16	successfully 64:5	talks 50:25 71:21
25:18	staff 13:11 17:3	succinct 35:24	tamalpais 7:5
space 83:14	48:21 54:4,21 73:1	suddenly 30:18	tapes 32:20
spans 4:23	standpoint 17:22	summer 30:14	target 71:8 81:18
speak 3:8	59:11,14 61:6 63:6	summertime 30:24	targets 71:16,19
speaking 2:3 3:8	start 4:16 62:2	superintendant 7:7	72:13,15
28:8 42:21 54:16	68:25 80:16	7:13 11:6,17,19	taxes 25:15
62:6 63:9 79:13		. ,	

[team - verardo]

team 17:17,20	60:5,7 61:12 65:19	trusted 23:11	64:24 70:3 73:17
technical 76:3	66:12,14 67:5 74:25	try 12:15 40:19	86:16
tell 4:11 38:18 58:13	82:2 83:13	74:25 79:4,7	understanding
64:17 70:1,4 81:15	times 32:19 62:2,5,8	trying 35:24 36:14	37:16,24 43:19 55:7
telling 51:11 78:23	63:1 83:24 84:1	41:18 47:11 56:7,20	55:12 63:18 73:12
ten 31:12,14 40:5,9	title 9:9	57:24 69:19 70:14	85:23
40:17 41:5 74:25	today 49:4 53:8	70:25 75:24,25	understood 3:1
tenure 4:14 70:7	told 43:22 46:24	tuition 32:6	55:13,16 73:18
terms 41:23 55:7	47:5,16 49:8,18	turn 16:25 88:19,22	undisclosed 81:12
67:22 71:6 72:19	50:13 52:7 68:5,12	turned 2:25 16:13	undoubtedly 63:16
81:7 82:11	75:19	28:1	unfortunately 27:11
test 15:23	tom 18:16 19:20	turns 44:17	74:20
text 50:8	20:17,25 40:13 44:9	twenty 11:10,20	unique 19:18
thank 2:19 15:20	49:9,17 54:24 55:12	21:9 24:4,6,22,25	unit 35:6 54:5
82:24	55:15,17 68:16	26:6,14 40:11,11	unusual 60:12
thanks 74:1	72:23	46:13,15,19,22 48:1	upside 33:8
theory 81:16,16	tony 22:17	68:8,15 85:7	use 28:18
85:16	tool 35:10,10,11,12	two 3:24 6:10,17	uses 64:9
thing 16:19 37:24	35:13	17:12 30:5,6 34:10	V
39:5 74:13 78:3,7	top 44:25	35:16 51:13 57:17	v 2:15
things 10:11 12:20	total 86:9	58:16,21 60:2,12	vacancy 30:18
16:24 62:10,18	totaled 86:9	67:15,19 84:1	valley 7:7
63:23 64:10 85:24	tough 7:8 62:11	type 69:24	variances 71:8
think 3:13,14 8:14	toxic 5:18	types 10:11	varied 71:10
9:1 14:19 15:10	traceable 47:21	u	various 48:3 50:14
16:6 17:19 22:16	traditional 34:13	uh 83:2,8	various 48.3 30.14 vehicle 4:5 25:15
23:14,18,22 24:6,24	training 7:10 10:21	ultimately 20:5	51:15 52:3 63:8,10
26:24 32:15 34:3	12:17 13:8,9,12	73:15 82:11	63:23 64:1,4,7,8,16
38:7 51:17 52:19	14:11,13 17:9 18:22	um 10:9,15,25 16:20	
55:15 56:24 68:5,9	22:7	17:18 18:4,18 19:15	verardo 1:5 2:12,12
71:3 74:6,7 75:20	transcribed 1:19	20:1 21:10 22:21	2:15,18,21,25 3:4
75:22,23 77:8 78:3	3:12,13 89:11	25:11,13 27:1,14	4:3,20,24 6:1,6,9,15
84:1 85:15	transcriber's 89:2	29:8,15,23 34:16	6:17,23 7:1,3,20,23
thirty 36:17 67:15	transcription 1:1	42:15 46:20 47:3	8:1,6,9,11,13,19 9:3
67:19 70:18,18	transcripts 3:11	51:23 52:6 53:13,19	9:6,13,15,17,20,23
1 10 0 0	1 20 25	31.23 32.0 33.13,17	
thomas 1:13 2:2	transmittal 20:25	54:6 56:19 57:5	10:1,3,7,10,16,20
three 6:10 25:9	trick 26:19 27:2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10:1,3,7,10,16,20 11:1,8 12:4,12,15
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18	54:6 56:19 57:5	
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19	11:1,8 12:4,12,15
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10 tight 44:9	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24 tried 27:20 28:3	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19 76:24 77:17 84:6,19	11:1,8 12:4,12,15 12:25 13:7,16,19
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10 tight 44:9 time 3:1 4:19,23 5:7	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24 tried 27:20 28:3 trouble 15:22 27:23	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19 76:24 77:17 84:6,19 85:12,15	11:1,8 12:4,12,15 12:25 13:7,16,19 14:6,9,11,17,21
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10 tight 44:9 time 3:1 4:19,23 5:7 5:11,11 6:23 11:9	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24 tried 27:20 28:3 trouble 15:22 27:23 true 86:22	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19 76:24 77:17 84:6,19 85:12,15 underreport 46:12	11:1,8 12:4,12,15 12:25 13:7,16,19 14:6,9,11,17,21 15:1,7,9,12,14,18,21
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10 tight 44:9 time 3:1 4:19,23 5:7 5:11,11 6:23 11:9 11:16 16:18,23 17:2	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24 tried 27:20 28:3 trouble 15:22 27:23 true 86:22 trust 4:5 63:14,19	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19 76:24 77:17 84:6,19 85:12,15 underreport 46:12 understand 12:5,21	11:1,8 12:4,12,15 12:25 13:7,16,19 14:6,9,11,17,21 15:1,7,9,12,14,18,21 16:5,9,16 17:19
three 6:10 25:9 27:24 53:23 70:18 tied 41:1 44:10 tight 44:9 time 3:1 4:19,23 5:7 5:11,11 6:23 11:9	trick 26:19 27:2 trickiest 25:18 tricks 41:21,24 tried 27:20 28:3 trouble 15:22 27:23 true 86:22	54:6 56:19 57:5 68:10 74:19 76:19 76:24 77:17 84:6,19 85:12,15 underreport 46:12 understand 12:5,21 24:2 37:15 40:18	11:1,8 12:4,12,15 12:25 13:7,16,19 14:6,9,11,17,21 15:1,7,9,12,14,18,21 16:5,9,16 17:19 18:1,5,15,19 19:2

[verardo - zeroing]

21,22,22,2,5,12,19	01.17.01.05.00.4.16	22.7	5.4.9.0.10.15
21:22 22:3,5,13,18	81:17,21,25 82:4,16	win 33:7	year 5:4,8,9,10,15
22:22 23:1,6,15,18	82:21,25 83:3,6,9	winding 22:23	5:19 18:8 20:4
24:1,5,9,13,16,18,24	83:12,21 84:8,12,16	wish 39:3 74:24	22:19 25:17 27:10
25:2,5,8,12,14,24	84:20,25 85:4,6,9	witness 3:11 48:3	32:7 38:20 41:3
26:22,24 27:2,15	85:13 86:4,11,23,25	won 33:6	42:12,13,17 44:18
28:11,15,24 29:2,5	87:8,14,18,21 88:1	words 39:16 61:14	44:18 46:6 47:22
29:9,16,20,24 31:6	88:8,13,17,19 89:3	65:4 71:11 72:2	50:18 51:17 52:1
31:10 32:4,8,12,15	versus 3:21 8:20	73:14	53:23 57:10,11
33:13,16,20,22 34:7	71:11,12	work 5:2,6,10 38:9	59:21 60:1,15,15,24
34:17 36:6 37:10,14	virtually 19:21	43:10 47:16 85:22	61:1 62:1 73:6,13
37:23 38:4,13,16	w	worked 5:16,19	73:16 77:19 85:19
39:3,9,15,22 40:2,4	wait 39:6,6,6,6	6:20 10:16,23 11:14	year's 27:4 72:20
40:9,21,24 41:2,7	65:11	19:5 20:7 23:13	years 5:16 6:10 7:10
41:10,14 42:2,5,7	walk 17:16	35:5 38:25 59:16	13:24 17:12 23:14
42:10,16,22 43:3,9		72:12	26:3 30:2 31:24
43:15,25 44:4,8,13	walked 55:4 want 12:16 20:24	working 44:10	32:2,10 33:21,22
44:24 45:16,24 46:4	38:23 41:14,21 54:8	works 27:17 43:20	38:8 41:11,16,17
46:9,20 47:3,9,23	,	world 43:20 86:8	45:11 46:17,23
48:11,13,16,19,22	67:13 79:3,19 83:16	wright 9:19,20	53:23 58:12 59:18
48:24 49:1,5,10,16	wanted 28:4 31:11	10:20 22:6	61:3,21,25,25 62:18
50:1,3,10,21 51:4	31:21 49:19 61:2	wrong 19:25 24:22	64:21 67:15,19
51:18,20,23 52:6,9	72:16	84:21	74:25 75:12,21 78:9
52:13,20,23 53:1,3	wanting 35:11		81:18
53:11,14,20 54:7,17	way 2:21 31:16	y	york 1:22,22 89:17
54:20 55:2,10,15,23	32:21 36:2 45:10	y 23:1	89:17
56:1,4,6,10,13,16,20	60:24 64:25 66:12	yeah 2:25 6:25 9:23	yoshi 50:9
57:6 58:2,9,16,19	72:12	9:24 10:2,7 15:13	
59:6,13,16,24 60:6	ways 31:25 32:10	15:23 25:8,24 28:15	Z
60:19,22 61:17,20	we've 3:15,22,23 4:1	32:1,4,8,9,14 37:11	z 2:18
62:5,9,12,15,20,23	4:6,12 40:14 46:24	38:3,13 39:3 41:9	zero 45:10
63:10 64:20 65:9,13	46:25 47:5 51:11	41:13 42:9 43:10	zeroing 34:5
65:18,23 66:2,5,10	67:21 72:6 74:6	44:12,15 48:12 50:3	
66:14,17,21,24 67:2	weather 28:1	51:18 52:22 54:19	
67:5,9,11,25 68:2,4	weather's 27:18	55:5 56:2,12 58:19	
68:11,18,22 69:2,5	webb 89:13	60:6,21 62:20,22	
69:8,15,21,25 70:9	went 5:2,6 11:9,20	65:9,13 66:24 67:2	
70:14,17,20,23 71:1	13:21 47:2 56:18	69:9,20,21 70:11,19	
71:12,15 72:22 73:7	58:24 87:5	71:6 72:17 73:18	
· ·	wide 30:4	76:14,16 77:12,14	
73:9,18 74:12,15,20 75:7,11,14,18 76:9	wiggle 41:18,19	77:24 78:4,6 79:14	
	wild 67:21	79:14 81:4,10,20,25	
76:14,17,20 77:6,9	william 22:24	82:17,23 83:5,12	
77:12,15,18,24 78:2	wilson 15:7,9,16,18	84:13,23,25 85:4	
78:5,7,18 79:6,17	31:13	86:11,24,25 87:21	
79:21,25 80:3,6,11		88:1,9	
80:19 81:1,3,10,14		00.1,9	